

## Minutes

### Lakewood City Council

Regular Meeting held December 8, 2015

MEETING WAS CALLED TO ORDER at 7:40 p.m. by Mayor Wood in the Council Chambers at the Civic Center, 5000 Clark Avenue, Lakewood, California.

INVOCATION was offered by Jeff Zabel, Arbor Road Church

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE was led by Boy Scout Troop 140

ROLL CALL: PRESENT: Mayor Jeff Wood

Vice Mayor Ron Piazza Council Member Steve Croft Council Member Diane DuBois Council Member Todd Rogers

. . .

#### ANNOUNCEMENTS AND PRESENTATIONS:

Mayor Wood announced that the meeting would be adjourned in memory of the 14 innocent victims of the shooting in San Bernardino. He urged Lakewood residents to remember if you see something suspicious or unusual say something.

The Mayor also thanked city staff and the residents who attended the holiday tree lighting for a wonderful event. He added that the tree would be lit every night until the first weekend of January at the Civic Center Plaza and encouraged residents to enjoy the holiday décor.

Lynda Johnson, ABC Unified School District Board Member, announced that she would be representing Lakewood as the board liaison again for the upcoming year. She stated that she would be available to the Council or Lakewood residents should they have any questions or concerns about the District.

Mayor Wood thanked Board Member Johnson for her continued service to the community and students in Lakewood.

. . .

#### **ROUTINE ITEMS:**

COUNCIL MEMBER DUBOIS MOVED AND COUNCIL MEMBER ROGERS SECONDED TO APPROVE ROUTINE ITEMS 1 THROUGH 8.

RI-1 Approval of Minutes of the Meetings held November 10, November 17, and November 24, 2015

RI-2 Approval of Personnel Transactions

City Council Minutes December 8, 2015 Page 2

**ROUTINE ITEMS: - Continued** 

- RI-3 Approval of Registers of Demands
- RI-4 Approval of Agreement for Emergency Water During Disasters With DS Waters of America
- RI-5 Approval of Authorization to Issue Purchase Order Parks Game Courts Re-Stripe and Resurface
- RI-6 RESOLUTION NO. 2015-70; A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAKEWOOD AMENDING THE MOU PERTAINING TO EMPLOYEE BENEFITS AND THE CLASSIFICATION AND COMPENSATION OF CITY OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES, AND ESTABLISHING EMPLOYEE BENEFITS, DEFINING THE CONDITIONS AND HOURS OF EMPLOYMENT, AND ADOPTING A CLASSIFICATION AND COMPENSATION PLAN FOR CITY OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES AS ADOPTED BY RESOLUTION NO. 2014-27
- RI-7 RESOLUTION NO. 2015-71; RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAKEWOOD APPROVING THE APPLICATION FOR GRANT FUNDS FOR THE WATER QUALITY, SUPPLY AND INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 2014 (PROPOSITION 1), FOR A COMMUNITY WATER EFFICIENCY DEMONSTRATION GARDEN
- RI-8 Approval of Extension of Water Conservation Rebate Program for 2015-2016

UPON ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION WAS APPROVED:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: DuBois, Rogers, Piazza, Croft and Wood

NAYS: COUNCIL MEMBERS: None

. . . .

## 2.1 • INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCE NO. 2015-9; AMENDING MUNICIPAL CODE PERTAINING TO MARIJUANA REGULATIONS

City Attorney Steve Skolnik noted that unlike previous ordinance introductions, there would not be a public hearing on this item. When the proposed ordinance had been introduced, there would be a noticed public hearing at the next meeting.

Community Development Director Sonia Southwell delivered a slide presentation based on the memo in the agenda. She gave an overview of the chronology of marijuana regulations and explained that the recent Medical Marijuana Regulation and Safety Act included a bill containing a provision stating that cities that did not have an ordinance clarifying marijuana regulations by March 1, 2016, would lose the authority to regulate or ban cultivation within their City limits and sole licensing authority would go to the State. Ms. Southwell summarized by stating that the purpose of the ordinance was to give the City the ability to

### 2.1 • INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCE NO. 2015-9; AMENDING MUNICIPAL CODE PERTAINING TO MARIJUANA REGULATIONS - Continued

maintain local control by tightening definitions in the LMC to prohibit marijuana cultivation, processing, dispensaries, delivery, cooperatives and collectives; enforcement of the ordinance would be as a public nuisance with civil penalties; there would be no "grandfathering" of existing operations; the City's "permissive" zoning system would be reinforced to clarify that if something had not been listed in the LMC then it is prohibited; and "qualified patients" could continue to use medical marijuana with a valid identification card. In order to meet the approaching deadline and not give total local control to the State, it was the recommendation of the Planning and Environment Commission that the City Council introduce the proposed ordinance.

Donald Venitsky, Lakewood, commended the City for its efforts to exercise control on the cannabis issue and expressed his opposition to a complete ban of marijuana cultivation.

ORDINANCE NO. 2015-9; AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF LAKEWOOD AMENDING ARTICLE IX OF THE LAKEWOOD MUNICIPAL CODE CLARIFYING AND IMPOSING AN EXPRESS PROHIBITION ON MARIJUANA CULTIVATION, PROPAGATION, PRODUCT LABELING, STORING, PROCESSING, TRANSPORTING, DELIVERY, SALES, BARTERS, DISPENSARIES AND COOPERATIVES AND TESTING LABORATORIES IN THE CITY was read by title by the Management Aide.

Council Member Rogers expressed support for the Compassionate Use Act (CUA) and accessibility of marijuana to people in critical need to ease their pain. He also noted the Council's obligation to maintain the quality of life in Lakewood neighborhoods and to consider residents who did not want to live next to marijuana growing operations. He stated that this ordinance would be a very useful tool for law enforcement to take action against individuals who abuse the CUA. He concluded by stating that this ordinance represented a very responsible position for the City to take.

Vice Mayor Piazza stated that although he generally would not introduce ordinances that may appear to be extreme, he agreed that residents did not want to live next door to marijuana growing operations. He added that he felt this legislation was needed because the state seemed to be forcing the City's hand in order to maintain its local control.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROGERS MOVED AND COUNCIL MEMBER DUBOIS SECONDED TO WAIVE FURTHER READING AND INTRODUCE ORDINANCE NO. 2015-9.

UPON ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION WAS APPROVED:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: DuBois, Rogers, Piazza, Croft and Wood

NAYS: COUNCIL MEMBERS: None

. . .

### 3.1 • REVIEW OF COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT (CAFR) FOR YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

Administrative Services Director Diane Perkin made a presentation based on the memo in the agenda and stated that the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) had been compiled by the Administrative Services Department staff and audited by the certified accounting firm, Pun Group LLP. She advised that the document was comprised of the Introductory, Financial and Statistical and provided a review of the year-over-year changes from the previous fiscal year. She reported that the retained earnings in the Water Fund decreased by over \$4 million compared to the prior year because of the 25 percent state mandated water conservation rate. She concluded by stating that the City remained in sound fiscal condition and was able to meet all obligations with multi-year capital projects fully funded, pension obligations met, and no outstanding General Fund debt.

As a member of the Water Committee, Vice Mayor Piazza stated that the City and Committee would continue with the difficult task of maintaining the infrastructure of the Water Department despite the decreased earnings and he hoped for a turnaround to even out the Water Fund.

Mayor Wood thanked Ms. Perkin and the Administrative Services Department for their hard work on this detailed report.

MAYOR WOOD STATED THAT THERE BEING NO OBJECTION, THE ITEM WAS ORDERED RECEIVED AND FILED.

. . .

## 3.2 • APPROVAL OF PROTEST PAYMENT TO COMPLY WITH HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE §34179.7

The Director of Administrative Services presented a report based on the memo in the agenda. She stated that the Successor Agency to the former Lakewood Redevelopment Agency (LRA) required a Finding of Completion to be issued from the State Department of Finance (DOF) so that City loans to the former LRA could be listed on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) and the City could be paid back. She explained that the DOF would issue a Finding of Completion to the Agency after the Agency made a payment to the County. It was the recommendation of staff that the City Council approve the payment "under protest" to the Successor Agency of \$9,332,888.00 as determined by the "Other Funds" Due Diligence Review plus LAIF interest earned of \$68,595.33 for a total payment of \$9,401,483.33.

Ms. Perkin responded to Council Member Rogers' inquiry by stating that the final payment to recover the City's money would be in 2050.

The City Attorney noted that 4 percent interest will be accrued and Ms. Perkin added that it would be simple interest and the earnings would be minimal.

## 3.2 • APPROVAL OF PROTEST PAYMENT TO COMPLY WITH HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE §34179.7 - Continued

Council Member Croft clarified for the audience that this was one of the final steps in the State's dissolution of the Lakewood Redevelopment Agency. He stated that when the State needed money they had taken funds from cities and Lakewood set aside the funds that were being claimed. He added that the City was still battling this issue and acting under protest but the payment had to be made to give the City the chance to recover the money. He concluded by attributing the City's ability to make this payment without cutting back on current services to years of strong fiscal management.

Council Member DuBois reaffirmed Council Member Croft's comments about funds being taken from cities. She stated that when the State was short funded, it was able to make itself whole on the backs of cities by taking money from them and now the City was paying for it.

Mayor Wood emphasized that he and Ms. Perkin would sign the check under protest.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROGERS MOVED AND VICE MAYOR PIAZZA SECONDED TO APPROVE THE PAYMENT "UNDER PROTEST" TO THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY OF THE FORMER LAKEWOOD REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$9,401,483.33. UPON ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION WAS APPROVED:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: DuBois, Rogers, Piazza, Croft and Wood

NAYS: COUNCIL MEMBERS: None

. . . .

# 3.3 • APPROVAL OF LAKEWOOD WATER CAPTURE AND INFILTRATION PROJECT – SITE SELECTION, ENGINEERING DESIGN, AND ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION

Lisa Rapp, Director of Public Works, displayed slides and made a presentation based on the report in the agenda. She stated that in June, the Council approved a Cooperative Implementation Agreement with Caltrans for the Lakewood Water Capture and Infiltration Project to improve stormwater. In September, the Council awarded a contract to Tetra Tech Engineers for the evaluation of Mayfair Park and Bolivar Park as potential sites. The project team, including City staff, engineering and water quality consultants, and the consultant, Tetra Tech, had selected a site. Ms. Rapp introduced Tetra Tech Project Manager Oliver Galang to present the findings of the evaluation.

Mr. Galang displayed slides and began his presentation by stating that the reason for this project was to minimize the impact of pollutants in the Los Cerritos Channel Watershed. When comparing the two sites it was discovered that Bolivar Park would be a more expensive and complex project to construct than Mayfair Park but it was more effective in pollutant removals, allowed for infiltration of roughly 500 AF of runoff per year, caused a lesser impact to park operations and programs during construction and allowed for up to 100% of irrigation offset of the use of potable water for park irrigation, saving \$45,000 per year. He continued by describing the schematics of the system for the Bolivar Park project

# 3.3 • APPROVAL OF LAKEWOOD WATER CAPTURE AND INFILTRATION PROJECT – SITE SELECTION, ENGINEERING DESIGN, AND ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION - Continued

which include a pre-treatment system, inflatable rubber dam, water storage reservoir and a return flow pump. He noted that during a flood event, the rubber dam could be fully deflated to avoid impact on flood control operations and for the safety of the community. The project team proposed the excavation of the open space area at Bolivar Park to build the project, which would include replacing two outdated shelters with new City standard shelters. He concluded by stating that the total project implementation cost for the Bolivar Park site was \$11,440,000 and the annual operations and maintenance cost would be roughly \$111,400 per year. Construction was scheduled to begin in September 2016 and the project would be completed and fully operational in 2018.

Ms. Rapp explained that Mayfair Park was also a good project that may be pursued later but Bolivar Park had more benefits and should be pursued at this time. She also stated that discussions about sharing operations and maintenance costs with the upstream cities of the Los Cerritos Channel Watershed had begun and that an agency like the Gateway Water Management Authority may be asked to handle the funds because this was only the first of many similar projects. It was the recommendation of staff that the City Council confirm the selection of Bolivar Park as the project site to proceed to Phase 2, including full design and environmental processing; approve Amendment No. 1 for \$689,340 to Tetra Tech's contract for Phase 2 design services; authorize project management and environmental processing by Willdan Engineering for \$31,000 under their existing on-call agreement; and appropriate \$750,000 for Phase 2 of the project which would be reimbursed to the City by Caltrans.

Council Member Croft stated that he was impressed with the evaluation from Tetra Tech and that it was a shame no one would see this project because it would all be underground. He appreciated that Bolivar Park activities would not be interrupted by this project and he felt that the need to remove pollutants from runoff water was better achieved at Bolivar.

Ms. Perkin and Ms. Rapp confirmed for Council Member DuBois that the City had the funds to cover the proposed appropriations and that the City would seek quarterly reimbursements from Caltrans. Ms. Rapp also confirmed for Council Member DuBois that operations and maintenance costs would be shared with four other cities in the Watershed Management Plan once the project was complete. Council Member DuBois thanked staff for ensuring minimal park disturbance and shared her excitement for the project.

Vice Mayor Piazza remarked that this was a great project and that the Bolivar Park site benefited the City most.

Ms. Rapp confirmed for Mayor Wood that two shelters would be replaced during construction and would be paid for by the Caltrans grant. Recreation and Community Services Director Lisa Litzinger added that they would be similar to the new shelters at Del Valle Park.

Mr. Galang responded to Mayor Wood's inquiry by stating that the concrete reservoir would

# 3.3 • APPROVAL OF LAKEWOOD WATER CAPTURE AND INFILTRATION PROJECT – SITE SELECTION, ENGINEERING DESIGN, AND ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION - Continued

be about ten feet deep with four to six feet of coverage above it and that it would meet the seismic criteria for the area. Ms. Rapp added that the reservoir was likely to be premanufactured.

Council Member Rogers stated that although he understood the environmental benefits of this project, it was an expensive venture even with cost sharing. Ms. Rapp explained that 72 similar projects would be needed to fully comply with the Watershed Management Plan and that the City was making the first attempt to reach that mark. Council Member Rogers expressed his support for the project and his appreciation to Caltrans for providing funds to make the project possible.

VICE MAYOR PIAZZA MOVED AND COUNCIL MEMBER DUBOIS SECONDED TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS.

UPON ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION WAS APPROVED:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: DuBois, Rogers, Piazza, Croft and Wood

NAYS: COUNCIL MEMBERS: None

. . .

### 3.4 • AWARD OF CONTRACT - CENTRE BOILER REPLACEMENT PROJECT

The Director of Public Works stated that the Council awarded a contract on October 27th for the Centre Boiler Replacement project and that staff had been working with the City Attorney to address concerns for executing the contract.

The City Attorney gave an oral report on the status of the contract and stated that one of the key requirements of the contract was to provide a pair of bonds. There is a ten day period after the award of contract to comply with all specifications of the contract and the bonds had not been filed within that time. After staff consulted with the City Attorney, the low bidder had been informed of a final deadline to provide the required documents. If the low bidder did not comply, staff would recommend that the Council rescind the award based on noncompliance, award a contract to the second low bidder and make a claim on the low bidder's bid bond to attempt to recover some of the difference between the lowest and second lowest bid. The low bidder, RKDM, responded by indicating an intention to submit the bonds. The City Attorney informed the Council that the owner was present and wished to distribute a letter and address the Council.

Owner of RKDM, Dinesh Subherwal, explained that he needed to travel to India last month for family obligations and that caused his inability to secure the required bonds in the ten day period. He had requested to submit a cash deposit to cover the bond amounts and claimed that he was advised that he must submit the full bid amount. After returning from India this past weekend, he submitted a cashier's check for the full bid amount of \$121,921.00 but the

### 3.4 • AWARD OF CENTRE BOILER REPLACEMENT PROJECT - Continued

City Attorney informed him that the check was unacceptable. Mr. Subherwal assured the Council that this job was very important to him and that he was in the process of securing the bonds. He requested a two day extension to submit the paperwork by December 10 and assured the Council that there would be no compromise on the materials or workmanship.

The City Attorney explained that Mr. Subherwal primarily worked with the Public Works Department on the details of the contract and that they did not intend to cause him to believe that a cashier's check in the full bid amount would be acceptable in place of the bonds. The intention was to inform him to fully comply with the bonding requirements. The City Attorney also confirmed that the difference between the low and second low bid for this project was \$48,000.

Responding to Council Member Croft's inquiry, the City Attorney stated that staff did not recommend relying solely on RKDM to submit the required paperwork in two days and potentially leaving no other options to consider until the next meeting in January. He reported that two available options were to rescind RKDM's contract and proceed with the second low bidder, or allow up to two days for RKDM to submit the required paperwork, and if they did not, to automatically rescind their contract and proceed to the second low bidder.

Ms. Rapp and the City Attorney responded to Mayor Wood's inquiry by stating that the first day the required paperwork was due was ten days after October 27. Mayor Wood shared his concern of setting a precedent of allowing extensions and causing delays for future contracts.

Vice Mayor Piazza stated that the Council has a fiduciary responsibility to manage public monies and it was concerning when a bidder stated that they would bring in paperwork and did not follow through after several attempts.

Ms. Rapp confirmed for Council Member Rogers that the low bidder and second low bidder had acceptable references. The City Attorney assured Council Member Rogers that the second lowest bidder has no recourse since the City had the ability to extend its deadlines.

Ms. Rapp stated that RKDM indicated that their bonds would be coming from a company outside of California and she was concerned that this might cause additional delays since it was unknown if the company would meet the specification requirements.

The City Attorney stated that if the Council provided two days for the bonds to be submitted by RKDM there had to be a provision that the bonds must meet all legal requirements or they would be considered unacceptable and there would be no more grace period.

Mr. Subherwal assured Vice Mayor Piazza that the bonds would meet all California standards and that electronic copies would be provided on Wednesday and paper copies would be submitted by Thursday.

Council Member Rogers respected Mr. Subherwal's words but he was not comfortable supporting a further extension.

### 3.4 • AWARD OF CENTRE BOILER REPLACEMENT PROJECT - Continued

Council Member DuBois stated that because this involved City funds, the Council was cautious when managing its fiduciary matters.

Vice Mayor Piazza shared his appreciation for the Council's comments however he saw a better financial decision in approving a two day extension that could save the City \$48,000 and if the requirements were not met, the City could proceed with the second low bidder. Council Member DuBois agreed that this was a reasonable and financially responsible option.

Mayor Wood shared his concern with the business process and how known deadlines had not been met leaving the possibility of materials arriving late or causing other delays.

MAYOR WOOD MOVED AND COUNCIL MEMBER ROGERS SECONDED TO RESCIND THE AWARD OF CONTRACT TO RKDM AND AWARD A CONTRACT TO THE SECOND LOW BIDDER, MANLEY'S BOILER, INC., AND DIRECT STAFF TO MAKE A CLAIM AGAINST THE BID BOND OF RKDM.

Council Member Croft shared his concern that the Centre was currently operating with contingency plans in anticipation of the boiler replacement and that any more delays might affect income and the ability to perform committed services. He believed staff had given RKDM an opportunity to complete this project however it was time to move on.

The City Attorney responded to Council Member Rogers' inquiry by elaborating on making a claim against RKDM's bid bond. He explained that all bidders submit a bond to secure their performance when entering into a contract once awarded. The City could make a claim on RKDM's bond to recover some of the cost difference between the bids because of RKDM's failure to provide the required paperwork in a timely manner. The City Attorney stated that this was an option and was part of the motion.

Responding to Mayor Wood's inquiry, the City Attorney stated that a contractor would pay a premium for a bond that was claimed and that the bonding company would attempt to recover the expenditures from the purchaser of the bond.

Council Member Rogers stated he would like to make an amendment to the motion on the floor.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROGERS MOVED TO AMEND THE MOTION TO REMOVE THE DIRECTING OF STAFF TO MAKE A CLAIM AGAINST THE BID BOND OF RKDM. AS THE MAKER OF THE ORIGINAL MOTION, MAYOR WOOD CONCURRED WITH THE AMENDMENT.

Council Member Croft repeated his concerns that any further delays from RKDM could cause impacts on operations and downtime at the Centre. Council Member DuBois added that proceeding with the second low bidder might also cause delays.

### 3.4 • AWARD OF CENTRE BOILER REPLACEMENT PROJECT - Continued

Ms. Rapp responded to Mayor Wood's inquiry by assuring that the second low bidder had been contacted and was ready to proceed if awarded a contract. She added and restated that the purpose of the bid bond was to ensure that the bidder met the obligations under their bid. She responded to another question from Mayor Wood by stating that the second low bidder was able to comply with the terms of the contract and submit the required paperwork in the ten day period or sooner.

Vice Mayor Piazza expressed his support for the amendment to the motion.

The City Attorney stated that the amendment to the motion was to rescind the award of contract to RKDM and immediately proceed to entering into a contract with the second low bidder, Manley's Boiler, Inc.

Ms. Rapp and the City Attorney assured Council Member Croft that additional appropriations did not need to be authorized since there were sufficient funds in the budget for this project.

UPON ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION WAS APPROVED:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: DuBois, Rogers, Piazza, Croft and Wood

NAYS: COUNCIL MEMBERS: None

. . .

#### WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS:

Correspondence from the Greater Los Angeles County Vector Control District Regarding Appointment of Representative to their Board of Trustees Mayor Wood reported that correspondence had been received from the Greater Los Angeles County Vector Control District regarding the Board of Trustees term of Council Member Croft to be expiring in January.

Council Member Croft stated he would appreciate a reappointment to continue to represent the City on this Board and recommended a two-year appointment.

COUNCIL MEMBER DUBOIS MOVED AND COUNCIL MEMBER ROGERS SECONDED TO APPOINT STEVE CROFT TO A TWO-YEAR TERM AS THE CITY'S REPRESENTATIVE TO THE VECTOR CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD OF TRUSTEES. UPON ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION WAS APPROVED:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: DuBois, Rogers, Piazza, Croft and Wood

NAYS: COUNCIL MEMBERS: None

. . . .

City Council Minutes December 8, 2015 Page 11

### SUCCESSOR AGENCY ACTIONS

1. Approval of Register of Demands

COUNCIL MEMBER DUBOIS MOVED AND COUNCIL MEMBER CROFT SECONDED TO APPROVE THE REGISTER OF DEMANDS. UPON ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION WAS APPROVED:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: DuBois, Rogers, Piazza, Croft and Wood

NAYS: COUNCIL MEMBERS: None

. . .

2. Approval of Finding of Completion – Health and Safety Code §34179.9 Protest Payment

The Administrative Services Director presented a report based on the memo in the agenda and stated that this item was related to the earlier item. Once the City made payment to the Successor Agency, the Agency would transfer the funds to the County to be distributed to all taxing agencies. Then the Agency could be issued a Finding of Completion within five days. It was the recommendation of staff that the Agency approve the Finding of Completion Verification Form and the payment "under protest" to the County Auditor Control of \$9,332,888.00 as determined by the "Other Funds" Due Diligence Review plus LAIF interest earned of \$68,595.33 for a total payment of \$9,401,483.33.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROGERS MOVED AND COUNCIL MEMBERS DUBOIS SECONDED TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS. UPON ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION WAS APPROVED:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: DuBois, Rogers, Piazza, Croft and Wood

NAYS: COUNCIL MEMBERS: None

. . .

3. Adoption of Long-Range Property Management Plan (LRMP), Resolution No. LSA 2015-1

The Director of Administrative Services presented a report based on the memo in the agenda and stated that the Department of Finance anticipated giving the Agency a Finding of Completion and recommended the Agency approve a Long-Range Property Management Plan to expedite the process. She noted that this would be a simple process because the Agency had no properties but was still required to submit a plan. It was the recommendation of staff that the Agency adopt the proposed resolution establishing the Long-Range Property Management Plan to be submitted to the Oversight Board for consideration.

The City Attorney confirmed that the law stated that if there were no properties, a plan must be adopted stating that there were no properties.

RESOLUTION NO. LSA 2015-1; A RESOLUTION OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE LAKEWOOD REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY ADOPTING A LONG-RANGE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT PLAN

City Council Minutes December 8, 2015 Page 12

### SUCCESSOR AGENCY ACTIONS – Continued

COUNCIL MEMBER ROGERS MOVED AND COUNCIL MEMBER DUBOIS SECONDED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. LSA 2015-1. UPON ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION WAS APPROVED:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: DuBois, Rogers, Piazza, Croft and Wood

NAYS: COUNCIL MEMBERS: None

. .

### SUCCESSOR HOUSING ACTIONS

1. Approval of Registers of Demands

COUNCIL MEMBER ROGERS MOVED AND COUNCIL MEMBER DUBOIS SECONDED TO APPROVE THE RESGISTERS OF DEMANDS. UPON ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION WAS APPROVED:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: DuBois, Rogers, Piazza, Croft and Wood

NAYS: COUNCIL MEMBERS: None

. . .

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS: None

. . .

### ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to be brought before the City Council, Mayor Wood adjourned the meeting at 9:15 p.m. to 11:15 a.m. on Thursday December 17th in the Oak Room of the Centre at Sycamore Plaza.

Respectfully submitted,

Isabelle Diaz Management Aide