

AGENDA
REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING
COUNCIL CHAMBERS
5000 CLARK AVENUE
LAKEWOOD, CALIFORNIA

February 10, 2015

ADJOURNED MEETING: Del Valle Memorial Study Session

6:00 p.m.
EXECUTIVE BOARD ROOM

CALL TO ORDER

7:30 p.m.

INVOCATION: Pastor Chuck Franco, Life Center Assembly of God Church

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Council Member Diane DuBois

ROLL CALL: Mayor Todd Rogers
Vice Mayor Jeff Wood
Council Member Steve Croft
Council Member Diane DuBois
Council Member Ron Piazza

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND PRESENTATIONS:

ROUTINE ITEMS:

All items listed within this section of the agenda are considered to be routine and will be enacted by one motion without separate discussion. Any Member of Council may request an item be removed for individual discussion or further explanation. All items removed shall be considered immediately following action on the remaining items.

RI-1 Approval of Minutes of the Meeting held January 27, 2015

RI-2 Approval of Personnel Transactions

RI-3 Approval of Registers of Demands

RI-4 Approval of Lease of Water Rights to Golden State Water Company and Orchard Dale Water District

RI-5 Approval of Proposition A Fund Exchange and Assignment Agreement

RI-6 Approval of Appointment to the Oversight Board for the Successor Agency to the Former Lakewood Redevelopment Agency

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

1.1 Award of Bid for Public Works Project No. 2014-5, Del Valle Memorial *[Continued from January 27, 2015]*

City Council Agenda

February 10, 2015

Page 2

LEGISLATION:

- 2.1 Introduction of Ordinance No. 2015-1; Amending the Lakewood Municipal Code Pertaining to Speed Limits

REPORTS:

- 3.1 Review of Annual Statement of Intergovernmental Relations Goals

AGENDA LAKEWOOD SUCCESSOR AGENCY

1. Approval of Register of Demands
2. Approval of Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) 15-16A

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:

ADJOURNMENT

Any qualified individual with a disability that would exclude that individual from participating in or attending the above meeting should contact the City Clerk's Office, 5050 Clark Avenue, Lakewood, CA, at 562/866-9771, ext. 2200; at least 48 hours prior to the above meeting to ensure that reasonable arrangements can be made to provide accessibility to the meeting or other reasonable auxiliary aids or services may be provided.

Copies of staff reports and other writings pertaining to this agenda are available for public review during regular business hours in the Office of the City Clerk, 5050 Clark Avenue, Lakewood, CA 90712

Lakewood Veteran's Memorial Plaza Engraved Brick Proposal

The City of Lakewood has the opportunity to include engraved bricks as part of the Lakewood Veteran's Memorial Plaza. Staff envisions two types of engraved bricks being offered. If an announcement and advertising campaign could be launched by February 15, 2015, with a deadline of April 1, 2015, then the first round of bricks could be installed with the construction of the Memorial Plaza. For those who do not participate in the original round of bricks, additional rounds of bricks, depending upon response from the public, can be installed prior to Memorial Day and/or Veteran's each year, with appropriate deadlines set.

The blank bricks are already part of the project. Our project plans specify a paver: Nominal 4"X 8"X 2 5/8" "Bear Path" wire cut Brick Paver by Pacific Clay Products, Color: Dark Iron Spot. Since it is a "Nominal" size, it is not the full size listed, but is slightly smaller. This brick can accommodate up to 18 characters per line, on three lines, with a capital letter height of approximately 0.7 inches.

The Veterans bricks would be installed along both sides of the runway in the long rectangles. The Community bricks would be placed in an adjacent walkway, but not directly on the Memorial Plaza. Based upon the revised plans, we have calculated that we could accommodate over 1,500 of the Veterans bricks. There are ten 12' X 3' rectangular sections on the sides of the runway, each can hold about 160 bricks. We have not yet calculated the number of community bricks we can accommodate, but this should not be a problem, as there are a number of walkway areas adjacent to the Memorial Plaza.

Veteran's Bricks

The Veteran's Brick would offer people the opportunity to honor family and friends who have served in one of the 5 branches of the US Military. Each Veterans brick would include a standard inscription on 3 lines, 18 characters per line. We have designed the form and the criteria to be fairly restrictive, requiring a name on the first line (may include rank), branch of service on the second line, and a choice of Name of Conflict or War, or Dates of Service, or unit or ship, or Military Motto on the third line. We have done this so that the bricks would look uniform in their engraving and be limited to very specific types of information, so that we do not encounter any unusual messages, within the actual Memorial Plaza itself.

Community Bricks

The Community Brick would offer Lakewood residents and supporters the opportunity to participate in the project and commemorate their military or Lakewood themed messages in a nearby walkway. The inscriptions would be chosen from a set of pre-scripted messages in this section. A series of examples are included on the draft FAQ's and the actual message that can be selected are now included on the draft order form.

Our research indicated that the cost of the brick and engraving varies depending upon the type of brick, number of lines and characters, and the vendor who does the engraving. We believe that the bricks can be purchased, engraved and installed for less than \$100 each. Many other cities have used higher pricing structures to accommodate different sizes of bricks, and either in-place engraving or off-site engraving and installation. We specifically asked the other cities if there were any complaints about the cost of the program, and no one reported any complaints that their costs were too high. Any funds collected in excess of the amount needed for purchase, engraving and installation could be set aside for future repairs and maintenance of the bricks. One other city allowed for a replica brick to be purchased which seemed like something people might like, and we would suggest a cost of \$75, if ordered at the same time as their original brick order.

Conclusion and Recommendation

Staff believes that an engraved brick program for the Lakewood Veterans Memorial Plaza is possible. Several key considerations are summarized as follows:

- A decision would need to be made by the Council Meeting of February 10, 2015, to allow the program to be announced and the first round of bricks installed before the rededication at Memorial Day 2015.
- Initially, the program could be announced through the email list that the City sends its e-magazine to reaching nearly 20,800 people, continuing with print outreach through the normal means, such as the LCN.
- For those who miss the first round, subsequent rounds would be made available.
- Bricks could be priced at \$100 each, \$75 for a replica. Pricing of the bricks could be revisited for any future rounds, if necessary.
- Application forms could be on-line and in hard copy. Payment could be cash, check or credit card, either in person or through the mail.
- The exact number of characters per line is limited to 18, including spaces and punctuation. The number of lines per brick is limited to three.
- For the first round of bricks, it would likely be easiest to work with Permeco for the engraving, the likely subcontractor for the project.
- The restrictive guidelines for the engraving of the Veterans bricks should be considered and confirmed.
- The samples for the community bricks, along with the pre-scripted messages should be reviewed and confirmed.
- Due to the short time frame, staff has begun the work to develop paper and online forms as well as plans for marketing and outreach.

Draft
Lakewood Veterans Memorial Plaza
Engraved Brick Fact Sheet and FAQ

The City of Lakewood is pleased to offer the opportunity to honor members of the military and the Lakewood community at the new Lakewood Veterans Memorial Plaza. Engraved bricks (4" X 8") will be placed in the rectangle spaces along the sides of the carrier deck runway or in the adjacent walkway. These spaces are reserved for only those who have served in the five branches of the armed services. Inscriptions are limited to 3 lines, 18 characters per line, and must conform to the guidelines for inscriptions*.

What can be inscribed on the veterans brick?

The veterans bricks will be located in the rectangle spaces along the sides of the carrier deck runway. These rectangles are reserved for names of veterans of the 5 branches of the U.S. Armed Forces.

Line one: Rank and name; or name only

Line two: Rank and branch of service; or branch of service only

Line three: Name of conflict or war; or dates of service; or unit or ship; or motto of military unit

Please see the examples on the back of this sheet.

Who can be honored on a brick? Do they have to be a Lakewood resident?

Any person who served in the 5 branches of the U.S. Armed Forces can be honored on an engraved brick. They do not have to be or have been a Lakewood resident.

The name of my honoree does not fit within the 18 "characters". Can I have more space?

The amount of space is limited by the engraving technique and the size of the letters. All lettering will be uniform, so bricks are limited to only 18 "characters" per line without exception. Our staff can help you decide on appropriate abbreviations so that your desired information can best fit within the allowed space.

I don't have a service member to honor but I would still like to have a brick. What can I do?

An area for messages from the community is available on the walkway adjacent to the Memorial Plaza. This area will contain bricks with 1) messages of support for the military or 2) remembrances of life in Lakewood. These messages are limited to 3 lines, 18 characters per line, with line 1 reserved for the name or an individual(s) or family name, and lines 2 and 3 reserved for a choice several specific preset messages*. The message choices are noted on the back of this sheet along with examples.

Can I select the location for my brick? I am buying several bricks for family members, can they all be together?

The City of Lakewood cannot take requests for specific placement of bricks within the Memorial Plaza. We will attempt to locate together multiple family member bricks that are purchased at the same time, but cannot guarantee that they will all be placed together.

When will my brick be installed?

The City of Lakewood plans to install bricks purchased prior to April 1, 2015 before the 2015 dedication of the Memorial Plaza. Bricks purchased after April 1, 2015 will be installed in lots once or twice per year, prior to Memorial Day and/or Veterans Day.

Can I get a replica of my brick to take home?

Yes, if ordered at the same time as my original brick, and for an additional fee of \$75 each.

How do I order my brick?

A separate brick order form must be completed for each brick ordered, accompanied by payment, and submitted to the City of Lakewood, Administrative Services Counter or mailed to Lakewood City Hall – "Veterans Memorial Brick Order". Brick orders may also be placed on line at

www.Lakewoodcity.org/_____, and can be paid by Paypal and credit card. For mailed forms that will be paid by credit card, you will need to provide a telephone number at which a Lakewood staff member can call you for the credit card information. Lakewood staff will review your order and email or mail you a proof for final review and approval.

If I have questions, who should I call?

You can call the City of Lakewood, Customer Service – 562-866-9771, Ext. 2140. You can also email your questions to service1@lakewoodcity.org.

Veterans Brick Examples – Rectangles on the Carrier Deck

MSgt Dom Spirito US Army Air Corps World War II	Bob Josephson US Navy USS Missouri
Capt Max Withrow US Marine Corps Semper Fi	John Smith US Army Band of Brothers
Randall K Meyer US Air Force 1971-1972	John Smith US Marines Vietnam 1968
BG Charles Ebner US Army 33 Yrs of Service	John Smith US Coast Guard Purple Heart Vet

Community Brick Examples – Walkway adjacent to the Memorial Plaza

The Johnson Family salutes those in the US military	John Smith Family Lakewood residents 1972-2005
John & Mike Smith Remembers the Del Valle jet	John & Joan Smith original Lakewood residents

The Community Brick line 2 and 3 message choices are: *(The red forward slash (/) is the separation between Line 2 and Line 3.)*

- a. salutes/our veterans
- b. salutes those/in the US military
- c. honors those/serving in uniform
- d. thanks/our US Veterans
- e. remembers/the Del Valle jet
- f. salutes/the F3D-2 jet
- g. original/Lakewood residents
- h. Lakewood residents/since _____
- i. Lakewood residents/_____ to _____
- j. salutes the/City of Lakewood
- k. Council Member/_____ to _____ (or since _____)
- l. Commissioner/_____ to _____ (or since _____)
- m. Lakewood employee/_____ to _____ (or since _____)

*The Lakewood Veterans Memorial Plaza is a tribute to military veterans supported by the Lakewood community. The City reserves the right to adjust grammar, punctuation and spelling of inscriptions to achieve uniformity and accuracy of brick displays.

Community Brick Examples – Walkway adjacent to the Memorial Plaza

The Johnson Family salutes those in the US military	John Smith Family Lakewood residents 1972-2005
John & Mike Smith remember the Del Valle jet	John & Joan Smith original Lakewood residents

Community Brick Order Information

Please enter the inscription for your community member brick using the chart below. Please keep in mind that the Veterans Memorial Plaza is a tribute to those who served in the military, as well as a celebration of Lakewood's iconic F3D jet in our community. These inscriptions are limited to 3 lines, 18 characters per line, including punctuation and spacing, and are subject to review. Line 1 must contain the name of an individual or individuals, or family name. For Lines 2 and 3, you may select one of the preset message choices below.

Name:

Line 1																	
--------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Message Selection: Please select one. *The red slash (/) is the separation between Line 2 and Line 3.*

X	Select One and Fill in Year if needed		7	original/Lakewood residents
	1 salutes/our veterans		8	Lakewood residents/since _____
	2 salutes those/in the US military		9	Lakewood residents/_____ to _____
	3 honors those/serving in uniform		10	salutes the/City of Lakewood
	4 thanks/our US Veterans		11	Council Member/_____ to _____ (or since _____)
	5 remembers/the Del Valle jet		12	Commissioner/_____ to _____ (or since _____)
	6 salutes/the F3D-2 jet		13	Lakewood employee/_____ to _____ (or since _____)

Additional information is available online, including "Frequently Asked Questions." To have your brick installed prior to the Memorial Day 2015 Rededication Ceremony, your order must be received by **April 1, 2015**. Pre-ordered replica bricks will be available for pick up at the Memorial Day 2015 Ceremony in Del Valle Park, or at City Hall after Memorial Day 2015.

Payment Information

Name:	Address:	City: _____ State/Zip: _____
Phone:	Email:	Inscribed Brick (\$100): \$ _____ Replica (___ X\$75ea): \$ _____ Total: \$ _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Check (Payable to the City of Lakewood) <input type="checkbox"/> Cash <input type="checkbox"/> Credit Card	Credit Card Information: For mailed forms that will be paid by credit card, please provide a phone number at which a Lakewood staff member can call you for the credit card information.	

Please mail this completed form to "Veterans Memorial Brick Order," City of Lakewood, 5050 Clark Avenue, Lakewood, 90241, or you may bring it to the Administrative Services Counter at Lakewood City Hall.

The Lakewood Veterans Memorial Plaza is a tribute to military veterans. The City reserves the right to adjust grammar, punctuation and spelling of inscriptions to achieve uniformity and accuracy of brick displays. All bricks shall become the property of the City of Lakewood, which has sole discretion regarding their location and continued display.

Veteran's Memorial Brick Programs

Experience: Lessons Learned

We have contacted the staff of several cities who have recently conducted Veteran's Memorial Engraved Brick Programs to learn from their experiences. The following bullets summarize the key points from the more detailed discussion notes with those staffers:

- All of the staffers contacted stated that the experience was very positive and well received in the community, with very few if any complaints.
- Several had to reject or modify wording for the engraving, however, there were very few of these.
- Some memorials replace blank bricks with bricks engraved off site, as they are purchased.
- Several use Permeco to engrave new bricks or tiles that are permanently set. The engraving is sandblasted.
- Several memorials have been expanded to allow for addition bricks after initial space was sold out.
- Some use the funds raised for original construction, other used the funds for long term maintenance or expansion.
- New bricks are typically engraved or installed periodically after the initial construction.
- The price of the bricks varies, depending upon the location, from \$75 to \$350, and no one seems to complain about the price.
- One city has a pricing structure that includes a replica brick for the donor to take home.
- Several cities offer different size bricks, with additional engraving or features.
- All of the City's seem to allow a pretty free form text, keeping within a set number of lines and characters.

City of La Verne Veteran's Memorial

1/14/15 - Spoke with Bill Aguirre from the Park Department 909-596-8700

Bill was involved with the original planning and installation of the memorial and all brick requests go through his office. He said that the program was well received by the community and strongly backed by the Council. When asked if there was anything he would have done differently or if the program was problematic or posed political problems, he said no, and was highly pleased with their program.

They do new engraved bricks twice per year around Memorial Day and Veteran's Day. They use Permeco in LaVerne, primarily a cemetery monument company, for their engraving. The original round of engraved granite pavers were done at Permeco's facility, but new requests are engraved in place, since all pavers are already installed with grout. They have about 50 blank granite pavers left, but are considering an expansion. The original price of the pavers was \$75, \$150 and \$200, but now has doubled since they are engraved in place. No one ever complained about either of the price structures.

They allow free-form messages, compliant with the maximum number of characters. They have never had to reject a submission, but did have one that saluted all of the gays who served in the military which they allowed, and had no problems. They do not have vandalism and did not use any anti-graffiti coating, but they do not use any metal that could be stolen.

Permeco

Lyn Childress, Owner 909-599-9600

1/14/15 - Discussed paver engraving with Lyn.

She said that they are not set up to do primarily brick engraving, but she was interested in our memorial project. She noted that engraving a wire-cut brick is more difficult because of the variations in the

surface. She said that a company set up primarily to do brick engraving is going to be able to do it more assembly style at a cheaper price. They do sandblast engraving on pavers for the City of Rancho, Cucamonga, in small batches transported by the City for \$29 per paver. Each one is different and individual, and it requires a lot of essentially hand work. She did not think she could really do it any cheaper even with an initial larger batch order.

Yorba Linda Veteran's Memorial

1/14/15 - Left Message 8:50 am for Mike, Parks Superintendent 714-777-7894

1/15/15 - Call back from Brad, Maintenance supervisor

Handled through Veteran's Organization completely. City not very involved in the bricks. The Vets org leased the land from the City for the purpose of construction, but gave it back to the City to own and maintain after construction.

Mike Shanahan

Veterans Association
President

(714) 573-3287 Work

(714) 588-7080 Mobile

(714) 777-5571 Home

shanahan.mike@sbcglobal.net

Brad Skeene, Parks Supervisor 714-961-7199 bskeene@yorba-linda.org

1/20/15 - Call from Mike Shanahan, Yorba Linda Veterans Memorial Organization -- his organization continues to handle the brick program. They get about 3-4 brick orders per month, but usually get an uptick in orders after one of their ceremonies. They do bring installations about 4-6 times per year, but they have done special installs on short notice for very special circumstances, such as a WWII vet on hospice, who's family wanted to get him a brick and let him see it before he passed.

They dedicated their Vets Memorial in 2009, and all the brick money raised before then went into the original construction of the memorial. Now, the additional money they raise from the brick program goes into a holding fund for major items such as future expansion of walkways for more bricks and other long term needs. Since they construction, they have raised over \$20,000, beyond their expenses, that they have in a holding account with the City. They sell two sizes of bricks: 4X8 (\$200), 3 lines, 18 Characters per line and 8X8, (\$375), 4 lines, 18 Characters per line. The cost of the brick, engraving and installation is about \$65 and \$85 each, respectively. They use a contractor, Gary Brittan, who had a son was was killed in Iraq in 2004, who stores the bricks, gets them engraved and installs them. They remove blank bricks and insert engraved ones. The bricks are laser engraved.

They have a set of guidelines for what can be engraved on the bricks. He will check the minutes of their organization to see if he can send me a copy of those guidelines, but they require that all bricks maintain the Military message. They don't allow political, self-serving, or commercial messages, or any messages that would be considered insensitive. They have rejected maybe 3 or 4 brick requests, and compromised on others, and examples that he gave: "Starbucks Honors their employees who have served in the Military" and "Killed the Hun". They did allow "Yorba Linda police Department honors their veterans." They have a brick chairperson who approves all submissions, and if that chairperson gets one that they are concerned does not meet the guidelines, it is presented at their Board of Directors for a final decision.

Usually the bricks have a rank and name, branch of service and dates of service. Sometimes they include a name of a ship or battalion or unit. Sometimes they include a military motto (Semper Fi) or nickname (Screaming Eagles). All of those types of things are allowed.

Overall, they are very happy with the memorial and the brick program and are very pleased with their continued involvement. They don't feel that it has been troublesome or political.

City of Rancho Cucamonga

1/14/15 – Left message for Daniel Schneider, Community Services Superintendent – 909-477-2760

1/29/15 – Spoke with Mary Voss, Management Aide – Mary has been involved with the brick program for a long time. Rancho Cucamonga (RC) has two locations with engraved bricks.

The first location was at the Victoria Gardens Mall, at their Cultural Center and Library. They have 8 panels of brick walkway that each hold about 200-250 bricks, and 3 of these are filled. They don't get a lot of requests for new engraved bricks there, since this facility has been in place for about 15 years. They used a company called "Fundraisers Limited" which was in the east, so there was a lead time required for shipping. These are all standard 4X8 inch bricks. She feels that the panels that have not yet been engraved look perhaps unfinished.

They also have a military memorial called Freedom Court, located in their Central Park near the Senior Center. The push to have a military memorial was community driven and they have a Community Foundation that handles the funds and is a 501.3.C. The funds they raise are still going to pay off the original cost of construction. They started to solicit for the engraved bricks before ground breaking in 2011 and they dedicated the memorial in 2013.

They allow pretty free form engravings on the bricks. The bricks and tiles are already set in mortar, and new bricks purchased are engraved in place by Permeco. They have about 350 bricks already engraved, and have only a very few left to be purchased. They try to wait to have at least 21 lines of engraving before calling Permeco out to engrave, so that they don't incur an expensive minimum fee. They have 12X12 granite tiles that are for the major donors, which are \$10,000 and up. There two other sizes: 8X8 bricks for \$275 (or \$350 with a replica to take home) and 4X8 bricks for \$150 (or \$200 with a replica to take home). The engraving method is sandblasting.

Mary said that the Freedom Court is very well received without any major issues or complaints or political problems. She said that the City did not regret offering a memorial brick opportunity. She also said that they had very few problems with the inscriptions, and she worked with those people to reach a satisfactory inscription. One example was that there was a Veteran who had been in a covert unit in Vietnam and he wanted a brick dedicated "To all My Fellow Spooks", which could have been interpreted in a different and offensive way. That brick was rejected, but that veteran came back later and she worked with him to craft something that he was happy with. She also worked with a woman who wanted to buy a brick for her dog. Mary worked with her to craft wording that was a dedication from her dog to honor all the K9's in the military and the woman was very happy with that compromise.

City of Glendora

Sonia Jones, Executive Assistant to the City Manager 626-914-8299

1/14/15 – Spoke with Sonia about Glendora's Brick Program

Sonia said that the brick program was handled through the City Manager's office. The Memorial was dedicated over two years ago, and they presently have 138 bricks in place. The brick sales were part of

the over-all fundraising program for the memorial at \$200 per brick. They allow free form messages, a maximum of 2 lines with 18 characters per line. They did not have any political issues, complaints or problems with the brick program. They did not have a disclaimer saying that they had the right to reject any message that was not deemed appropriate, nor did they have any problems with any inappropriate messages, and therefore did not reject any requests. They still get requests for bricks but now they wait until they have 10 or 15 bricks paid before they engrave and install them. She directed me to Dave Davies 626-914-8246 for questions on the construction and brick specs. She said that they used two contractors to engrave the bricks, the first one did not work out, and second one was great.

Talked to Dave Davies about their donor bricks. They used a standard brick with one smooth surface, not a wire cut brick. They used both sandblasting and laser cutting for the engraving. The laser produced a cleaner engraving, but the surface had to be very smooth. They had to redo some bricks that did not turn out well. They are set in sand without mortar, in a circular pattern about their memorial, which required the bricks to be cut to fit. Dave also said that their brick program did not generate complaints or problems, but he did say that some people wanted their brick placed in a particular location or their family member bricks grouped together, which he tried to accommodate where possible.

**D
I
V
I
D
E
R
S
H
E
E
T**

Routine Items



Minutes

Lakewood City Council

Regular Meeting held
January 27, 2015

MEETING WAS CALLED TO ORDER at 7:30 p.m. by Mayor Rogers in the Council Chambers at the Civic Center, 5000 Clark Avenue, Lakewood, California.

INVOCATION was offered by Pastor Mike Conan, Christ Presbyterian Church

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE was led by Boy Scout Troop 140

ROLL CALL: PRESENT: Mayor Todd Rogers
Vice Mayor Jeff Wood
Council Member Diane DuBois
Council Member Ron Piazza
ABSENT: Council Member Steve Croft (excused)

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND PRESENTATIONS:

Mayor Rogers announced that the meeting would be adjourned in memory of Jack Spainhower, a former employee, who worked for 34 years in the Recreation and Community Services Department; David Albino, a Lakewood High School graduate and brother of RCS employee, Daniel Albino; Connie Lopez, mother of RCS employee Philip Lopez; Lee Agnello, a DASH client and mother of former employee, Steve Cohen; crossing guard Charlie Brown, a Lakewood resident and participant in golf tournaments; and crossing guard Bob Overby, whose wife, Mona, was also a crossing guard. Mayor Rogers expressed condolences to all of their families and friends.

Mayor Rogers introduced Sally Hazard, Executive Director of the Southeast Area Animal Control Authority, who made a presentation on the "Have a Heart" Adoption Event to be held on February 14th at the SEAACA facility in Downey. Ms. Hazard introduced her staff as well as some of the animals available for adoption.

ROUTINE ITEMS:

COUNCIL MEMBER DUBOIS MOVED AND VICE MAYOR WOOD SECONDED TO APPROVE ROUTINE ITEMS 1 THROUGH 6.

RI-1 Approval of Minutes of the Meetings held December 9, December 16, December 23, 2014, and January 13, 2015

RI-2 Approval of Personnel Transactions

ROUTINE ITEMS: Continued

RI-3 Approval of Registers of Demands

RI-4 Approval of Agreement for Emergency Water During Disasters With DS Waters of America

RI-5 Approval of Monthly Report of Investment Transactions

RI-6 Approval of Quarterly Schedule of Investments

UPON ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION WAS APPROVED:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: DuBois, Wood, Piazza and Rogers

NAYS: COUNCIL MEMBERS: None

ABSENT: COUNCIL MEMBERS: Croft

1.1 • GENERAL PLAN ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT

Sonia Southwell, Director of Community Development, displayed slides and presented a report based on the memo in the agenda. She reported that the General Plan, which was a State-mandated document, planned the framework for the City's physical, social and economic development for up to thirty years into the future. The Annual Progress Report provided the status of the General Plan and progress in its implementation. It was the recommendation of the Planning and Environment Commission that the City Council receive and file the 2014 General Plan Annual Progress Report and direct staff to submit it to the California Department of Housing and Community Development and the Governor's Office of Planning and Research.

Council Member Piazza and Council Member DuBois commended staff for the efforts in improving sales tax revenue and addressing the State's requirements.

Mayor Rogers opened the public hearing at 7:48 p.m. and called for anyone in the audience wishing to address the City Council on this matter. There was no response.

COUNCIL MEMBER DUBOIS MOVED AND VICE MAYOR WOOD SECONDED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION. UPON ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION WAS APPROVED:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: DuBois, Wood, Piazza and Rogers

NAYS: COUNCIL MEMBERS: None

ABSENT: COUNCIL MEMBERS: Croft

1.2 • PUBLIC WORKS PROJECT NO. 2014-5, DEL VALLE MEMORIAL

Public Works Director Lisa Rapp made a presentation based on the report in the agenda reporting that since the bid opening, staff had been analyzing the bids, reviewing the plans and specifications and discussing options with the project architect and low bidder. She concluded by stating that staff recommended that the City Council delay the award of bid until the Council meeting scheduled for February 10, 2015.

VICE MAYOR WOOD MOVED AND COUNCIL MEMBER PIAZZA SECONDED TO CONTINUE THE PUBLIC HEARING TO FEBRUARY 10, 2015. UPON ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION WAS APPROVED:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: DuBois, Wood, Piazza and Rogers
NAYS: COUNCIL MEMBERS: None
ABSENT: COUNCIL MEMBERS: Croft

3.1 • ANNUAL REVIEW OF CITY INVESTMENT POLICY

Administrative Services Director Diane Perkin displayed slides and gave a presentation based on the memo in the agenda. She reported that the City's Investment Policy was submitted annually for review and approval by the City Council. She stated that the Policy, last revised in January, 2014, continued to meet the objectives of safety, liquidity and yield in the investment of public funds. This year, it was recommended that the policy be amended to take into consideration supranationals as an authorized and suitable investment option. It was the recommendation of staff that the City Council approve the Investment Policy and continue the investment management services of Public Financial Management (PFM).

VICE MAYOR WOOD MOVED AND COUNCIL MEMBER DUBOIS SECONDED TO APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION. UPON ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION WAS APPROVED:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: DuBois, Wood, Piazza and Rogers
NAYS: COUNCIL MEMBERS: None
ABSENT: COUNCIL MEMBERS: Croft

3.2 • 2015 LAKEWOOD YOUTH SPORTS HALL OF FAME

Lisa Litzinger, Director of Recreation and Community Services, displayed slides and made a presentation based on the report in the agenda. She reported that the 34th Annual Lakewood Youth Hall of Fame would be held on February 23rd. The program recognized the achievements of high school and collegiate athletes who either lived in or attended school in Lakewood. She added that the Board of Electors selected Lakewood High School swimmer Daniel McArthur as the 2014 Athlete of the Year. Staff recommended that the City Council receive and file the report.

3.2 • 2015 LAKEWOOD YOUTH SPORTS HALL OF FAME Continued

MAYOR ROGERS STATED THAT THERE BEING NO OBJECTION, THE ITEM WAS ORDERED RECEIVED AND FILED.

SUCCESSOR AGENCY ACTIONS

1. Approval of Registers of Demands

COUNCIL MEMBER PIAZZA MOVED AND COUNCIL MEMBER DUBOIS SECONDED TO APPROVE THE REGISTERS OF DEMANDS. UPON ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION WAS APPROVED:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: DuBois, Wood, Piazza and Rogers

NAYS: COUNCIL MEMBERS: None

ABSENT: COUNCIL MEMBERS: Croft

SUCCESSOR HOUSING ACTIONS

1. Approval of Register of Demands

COUNCIL MEMBER DUBOIS MOVED AND COUNCIL MEMBER PIAZZA SECONDED TO APPROVE THE REGISTER OF DEMANDS. UPON ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION WAS APPROVED:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: DuBois, Wood, Piazza and Rogers

NAYS: COUNCIL MEMBERS: None

ABSENT: COUNCIL MEMBERS: Croft

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS: None

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to be brought before the City Council, Mayor Rogers adjourned the meeting at 8:03 p.m. to Tuesday, February 10, 2015, at 6:00 p.m. in the Executive Board Room. A moment of silence was observed in memory of Jack Spainhower, David Albino, Connie Lopez, Lee Agnello, Charlie Brown and Bob Overby.

Respectfully submitted,

Jo Mayberry, CMC
Acting City Clerk

**D
I
V
I
D
E
R
S
H
E
E
T**

COUNCIL AGENDA

February 10, 2015

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

SUBJECT: Report of Personnel Transactions

	<u>Name</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Effective Date</u>
1. FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES				
A. Appointments				
	Melissa Louie	Administrative Clerk	08A	01/26/2015
B. Changes				
	Jo Semense-Mayberry	Deputy City Clerk City Clerk	20A to 40B	02/01/2015
	Michael McDade	Park Maintenance Lead Worker Environmental Resources Sup.	18A to 27B	02/01/2015
C. Separations				
	None			
2. PART-TIME EMPLOYEES				
A. Appointments				
	None			
B. Changes				
	Jesse Blakely	Maintenance Trainee I Maintenance Trainee II	B to B	11/08/2015
C. Separations				
	None			

Lisa Novotny
Assistant City Manager



Howard L. Chambers
City Manager



**D
I
V
I
D
E
R
S
H
E
E
T**

**CITY OF LAKEWOOD
FUND SUMMARY 1/22/2015**

In accordance with section 2521 of the Lakewood Municipal Code there is presented herewith a summary of obligations to be paid by voucher 61626 through 61744. Each of the following demands has been audited by the Director of Administrative Services and approved by the City Manager.

1010	GENERAL FUND	845,743.59
1020	CABLE TV	2,149.43
1030	CDBG CURRENT YEAR	1,125.00
1050	COMMUNITY FACILITY	7,485.79
1336	STATE COPS GRANT	14,651.44
1371	JAG GRANT	661.92
1710	PROPOSITION "A" RECREATION	1,841.66
1720	RIVER PARKWAY GRANT	14,557.33
1744	LA COUNTY REGIONAL OPEN SPACE	14,297.02
3050	PARK DEDICATION	7,793.83
3060	PROPOSITION "A"	4.94
3070	PROPOSITION "C"	822.60
5010	GRAPHICS AND COPY CENTER	3,253.59
5020	CENTRAL STORES	2,195.66
5030	FLEET MAINTENANCE	4,289.15
7500	WATER UTILITY FUND	66,711.70
8020	LOCAL REHAB LOAN	6,740.95
8030	TRUST DEPOSIT	17,003.78
		1,011,329.38

Council Approval

Date

City Manager

Attest

City Clerk

Director of Administrative Services

**CITY OF LAKEWOOD
SUMMARY CHECK REGISTER**

CHECK #	CHECK DATE	VEND #	VENDOR NAME	GROSS	DISC.	CHECK AMOUNT
61626	01/22/2015	2701	AIRE RITE A/C & REFRIGERATION INC	900.07	0.00	900.07
61627	01/22/2015	4208	AIRGAS INC	777.49	0.00	777.49
61628	01/22/2015	1700	ALLIED REFRIGERATION INC	84.43	0.00	84.43
61629	01/22/2015	3982	AMERICAN REPROGRAPHICS COMPANY. LLC	1,470.99	0.00	1,470.99
61630	01/22/2015	1003	AMER.SOCIETY OF COMPOSERS AUTHORS & PUBI	804.00	0.00	804.00
61631	01/22/2015	4514	MR WHELLS INC	60.60	0.00	60.60
61632	01/22/2015	3600	B & D ALIGNMENT INC	481.56	0.00	481.56
61633	01/22/2015	63294	BROADCAST MUSIC INC	668.00	0.00	668.00
61634	01/22/2015	4591	BAKER COMMODITIES INC	300.00	0.00	300.00
61635	01/22/2015	48469	BURWELL MICHAEL RAY	620.00	0.00	620.00
61636	01/22/2015	53046	C.P.R.S.	20.00	0.00	20.00
61637	01/22/2015	307	CALIF. STATE DISBURSEMENT UNIT	442.60	0.00	442.60
61638	01/22/2015	53983	CALIFORNIA ST OF -FRANCHISE TAX BOARD	414.46	0.00	414.46
61639	01/22/2015	4707	CASABIAN. MYRA AND	1,087.85	0.00	1,087.85
61640	01/22/2015	4708	CASABIAN. MYRA AND	700.00	0.00	700.00
61641	01/22/2015	4709	CASABIAN. MYRA AND	2,719.60	0.00	2,719.60
61642	01/22/2015	7600	CENTRAL BASIN WATER ASSN	725.44	0.00	725.44
61643	01/22/2015	4702	CHAGNON. KEITH	150.00	0.00	150.00
61644	01/22/2015	4597	CS LEGACY CONSTRUCTION INC	37,071.05	0.00	37,071.05
61645	01/22/2015	4442	DANIEL'S TIRE SERVICE INC	344.81	0.00	344.81
61646	01/22/2015	4660	ZW USA INC.	127.53	0.00	127.53
61647	01/22/2015	53706	F & A FEDERAL CREDIT UNION	5,148.50	0.00	5,148.50
61648	01/22/2015	65038	FED EX OFFICE & PRINT SVCS INC	712.37	0.00	712.37
61649	01/22/2015	3946	FERGUSON ENTERPRISES INC	1,582.24	0.00	1,582.24
61650	01/22/2015	63519	FLUE STEAM INC	24.00	0.00	24.00
61651	01/22/2015	3188	GALLS LLC/OUARTERMASER LLC	1,527.14	0.00	1,527.14
61652	01/22/2015	65779	GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY	843.48	0.00	843.48
61653	01/22/2015	33150	GRAINGER W W INC	513.84	0.00	513.84
61654	01/22/2015	54961	HACH COMPANY	358.38	0.00	358.38
61655	01/22/2015	62491	HANDS ON MAILING &	210.93	0.00	210.93
61656	01/22/2015	65575	HAP'S AUTO PARTS	752.10	0.00	752.10
61657	01/22/2015	42031	HOME DEPOT	1,942.33	0.00	1,942.33
61658	01/22/2015	57716	HUBBARD. PAUL S	825.00	0.00	825.00
61659	01/22/2015	65891	HUMAN SERVICES ASSOCIATION	375.00	0.00	375.00
61660	01/22/2015	4149	INFOSEND INC	8,889.44	0.00	8,889.44
61661	01/22/2015	4352	NEWTON MANUFACTURING CO	1,621.78	0.00	1,621.78
61662	01/22/2015	4668	SALES. KEVIN	2,160.00	0.00	2,160.00
61663	01/22/2015	55469	LAKWOOD CITY EMPLOYEE ASSOCIATION	2,080.00	0.00	2,080.00
61664	01/22/2015	18550	LAKWOOD. CITY OF	99.45	0.00	99.45
61665	01/22/2015	2409	LIFTECH ELEVATOR SERVICES INC	242.00	0.00	242.00
61666	01/22/2015	21600	LOS ANGELES CO SHERIFFS DEPT	767,207.78	0.00	767,207.78
61667	01/22/2015	41545	PACIFIC PREMIER RETAIL TRUST	306.00	0.00	306.00
61668	01/22/2015	62098	MAY. CHRISTOPHER	825.00	0.00	825.00
61669	01/22/2015	23130	MC MASTER-CARR SUPPLY CO	52.90	0.00	52.90

**CITY OF LAKEWOOD
SUMMARY CHECK REGISTER**

CHECK #	CHECK DATE	VEND #	VENDOR NAME	GROSS	DISC.	CHECK AMOUNT
61670	01/22/2015	4625	MERCHANT'S BUILDING MAINTENANCE LLC	12,869.00	0.00	12,869.00
61671	01/22/2015	41831	MIEIR-KING. RICHARD	777.40	0.00	777.40
61672	01/22/2015	45154	MOORE IACOFANO GOLTSMAN INC.	1,797.46	0.00	1,797.46
61673	01/22/2015	61672	MUSCULAR DYSTROPHY ASSOC INC	20.00	0.00	20.00
61674	01/22/2015	2546	NIFTY AFTER FIFTY	19.20	0.00	19.20
61675	01/22/2015	4443	O'REILLY AUTOMOTIVE STORES INC	305.42	6.00	299.42
61676	01/22/2015	47554	OFFICE DEPOT BUSINESS SVCS	364.31	0.00	364.31
61677	01/22/2015	65659	PHASE II SYSTEMS INC	3,968.53	0.00	3,968.53
61678	01/22/2015	50512	PATHWAYS VOLUNTEER HOSPICE	750.00	0.00	750.00
61679	01/22/2015	4638	PENA. MIGUEL AND LILIA	93.50	0.00	93.50
61680	01/22/2015	51171	PERS LONG TERM CARE PROGRAM	268.65	0.00	268.65
61681	01/22/2015	15600	LONG BEACH PUBLISHING CO	3,268.14	0.00	3,268.14
61682	01/22/2015	48940	DVBSR LLC	195.00	0.00	195.00
61683	01/22/2015	64796	OUARTO. ROBERT	343.85	0.00	343.85
61684	01/22/2015	887	RDO-VERMEER LLC	160.01	0.00	160.01
61685	01/22/2015	2374	RICHARDS WATSON & GERSHON INC	14,637.33	0.00	14,637.33
61686	01/22/2015	41691	SAFETY-KLEEN CORP	564.35	0.00	564.35
61687	01/22/2015	2177	SINDAHA SAMIR	2,140.00	0.00	2,140.00
61688	01/22/2015	52279	SMART & FINAL INC	20.24	0.00	20.24
61689	01/22/2015	29400	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO	42,069.09	0.00	42,069.09
61690	01/22/2015	29500	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS CO	4,916.47	0.00	4,916.47
61691	01/22/2015	4026	SPASEFF TED C	262.50	0.00	262.50
61692	01/22/2015	50299	SPENCER. GORDON	150.00	0.00	150.00
61693	01/22/2015	48147	STATE BOARD OF EOUALIZATION	9,410.00	0.00	9,410.00
61694	01/22/2015	44104	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD	792.00	0.00	792.00
61695	01/22/2015	50643	STREET TREE SEMINAR INC	30.00	0.00	30.00
61696	01/22/2015	38679	WESTERN EXTERMINATOR COMPANY	762.74	0.00	762.74
61697	01/22/2015	65737	U S BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION	3,006.88	0.00	3,006.88
61698	01/22/2015	60685	TURF STAR	166.10	0.00	166.10
61699	01/22/2015	4216	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HUD	125.00	0.00	125.00
61700	01/22/2015	53760	UNITED WAY OF GREATER LOS ANGELE	45.00	0.00	45.00
61701	01/22/2015	4642	UTILITY COST MANAGEMENT LLC	21,467.35	0.00	21,467.35
61702	01/22/2015	1682	VELOCITY AIR ENGINEERING INC	1,869.95	0.00	1,869.95
61703	01/22/2015	33200	WALTERS WHOLESALE ELECTRIC CO	1,265.96	23.18	1,242.78
61704	01/22/2015	17640	WAXIE ENTERPRISES INC	1,588.77	0.00	1,588.77
61705	01/22/2015	60180	WELCO SAW & GRINDING INC	16.35	0.00	16.35
61706	01/22/2015	62628	WELLS C. PIPELINE MATERIALS	475.46	0.00	475.46
61707	01/22/2015	40925	WEST COAST ARBORISTS INC	14,546.35	0.00	14,546.35
61708	01/22/2015	37745	WESTERN EXTERMINATOR CO	223.00	0.00	223.00
61709	01/22/2015	50172	PRIMUS INC	152.60	0.00	152.60
61710	01/22/2015	50058	WHITE HOUSE FLORIST INC	1,149.95	0.00	1,149.95
61711	01/22/2015	3699	ABRO. DOLORES	25.00	0.00	25.00
61712	01/22/2015	3699	AGUILAR. RENEE	34.00	0.00	34.00
61713	01/22/2015	3699	BADER. DOROTHY	20.00	0.00	20.00

**CITY OF LAKEWOOD
SUMMARY CHECK REGISTER**

CHECK #	CHECK DATE	VEND #	VENDOR NAME	GROSS	DISC.	CHECK AMOUNT
61714	01/22/2015	3699	BARDALES. JESSICA	250.00	0.00	250.00
61715	01/22/2015	3699	BAYAN. IRENE	250.00	0.00	250.00
61716	01/22/2015	3699	BRECEDA. MARIA & ARTURO	10.09	0.00	10.09
61717	01/22/2015	3699	COLLINDRES. ADOLFO	250.00	0.00	250.00
61718	01/22/2015	3699	CONCEPCION. RYAN	250.00	0.00	250.00
61719	01/22/2015	3699	GALLEGOS. MONSERAT	250.00	0.00	250.00
61720	01/22/2015	3699	GARCIA. CORAL	250.00	0.00	250.00
61721	01/22/2015	3699	GREEN. MARGIE	250.00	0.00	250.00
61722	01/22/2015	3699	GURROLA. ROSALYNN	250.00	0.00	250.00
61723	01/22/2015	3699	JACQUES. ANDRE	250.00	0.00	250.00
61724	01/22/2015	3699	JHUNJHUNWALA. VIKASH	250.00	0.00	250.00
61725	01/22/2015	3699	JOSEPH. KENBERT & CORY	18.54	0.00	18.54
61726	01/22/2015	3699	KEDIS. JOHN G	1,000.00	0.00	1,000.00
61727	01/22/2015	3699	LAWRENCE. JOHN	351.00	0.00	351.00
61728	01/22/2015	3699	MADRIGAL. GWENDELYN	250.00	0.00	250.00
61729	01/22/2015	3699	MARSH. KATHY	250.00	0.00	250.00
61730	01/22/2015	3699	MERCADO. LAURENCE	240.00	0.00	240.00
61731	01/22/2015	3699	MODINA. NATALIE	170.00	0.00	170.00
61732	01/22/2015	3699	MOORE. ANDRE	20.00	0.00	20.00
61733	01/22/2015	3699	ORTEGA. GRISELDA & MENDOZA. EDWIN	45.00	0.00	45.00
61734	01/22/2015	3699	PASCUA. JINKY	250.00	0.00	250.00
61735	01/22/2015	3699	PENERA. LORIE	250.00	0.00	250.00
61736	01/22/2015	3699	POPPEN. DEBORAH	250.00	0.00	250.00
61737	01/22/2015	3699	TIANPIBOONSIRI. PAUL	250.00	0.00	250.00
61738	01/22/2015	3699	TRAN. MAI T	100.00	0.00	100.00
61739	01/22/2015	3699	VASOUEZ. JOE	250.00	0.00	250.00
61740	01/22/2015	3699	WASHINGTON. LASHELLE	250.00	0.00	250.00
61741	01/22/2015	47854	TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES INC	1,523.50	0.00	1,523.50
61742	01/22/2015	60195	CR TRANSFER INC	2,361.60	0.00	2,361.60
61743	01/22/2015	65712	IDMODELING INC	1,625.00	0.00	1,625.00
61744	01/22/2015	66457	BRENNTAG PACIFIC INC	3,990.78	0.00	3,990.78
Totals:				1,011,358.56	29.18	1,011,329.38

**CITY OF LAKEWOOD
FUND SUMMARY 1/29/2015**

In accordance with section 2521 of the Lakewood Municipal Code there is presented herewith a summary of obligations to be paid by voucher 61745 through 61876. Each of the following demands has been audited by the Director of Administrative Services and approved by the City Manager.

1010	GENERAL FUND	634,621.12
1015	SPECIAL OLYMPICS	129.63
1020	CABLE TV	297.44
1050	COMMUNITY FACILITY	76.28
3070	PROPOSITION "C"	311.32
5010	GRAPHICS AND COPY CENTER	160.02
5020	CENTRAL STORES	717.89
5030	FLEET MAINTENANCE	5,597.06
6020	GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM	321.94
7500	WATER UTILITY FUND	5,397.76
8000	BUS DEV REVOLVING LOAN PROG	208.21
8030	TRUST DEPOSIT	299.28
		<hr/>
		648,137.95

Council Approval

Date

City Manager

Attest

City Clerk

Director of Administrative Services

**CITY OF LAKEWOOD
SUMMARY CHECK REGISTER**

CHECK #	CHECK DATE	VEND #	VENDOR NAME	GROSS	DISC.	CHECK AMOUNT
61745	01/29/2015	48210	AIRFLITE INC	3,787.70	0.00	3,787.70
61746	01/29/2015	41215	AREND. DALE	171.60	0.00	171.60
61747	01/29/2015	443	B&M LAWN AND GARDEN INC	49.37	0.00	49.37
61748	01/29/2015	66012	BARTKUS. KRISTIN	2,672.54	0.00	2,672.54
61749	01/29/2015	43808	BELLFLOWER AUTO TRIM	632.44	0.00	632.44
61750	01/29/2015	64282	BELTRAN. PAOLO	3,471.72	0.00	3,471.72
61751	01/29/2015	48108	BERG. APRIL	260.00	0.00	260.00
61752	01/29/2015	62737	BOYES. GOBIND	108.42	0.00	108.42
61753	01/29/2015	1935	BREA. CITY OF	34,291.59	0.00	34,291.59
61754	01/29/2015	53835	C.P.R.S. DIST X	240.00	0.00	240.00
61755	01/29/2015	6600	CALIFORNIA STATE DEPT OF JUSTICE	4,292.00	0.00	4,292.00
61756	01/29/2015	1709	CAPPO INC.	130.00	0.00	130.00
61757	01/29/2015	7500	CENTRAL BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER	2,070.00	0.00	2,070.00
61758	01/29/2015	7600	CENTRAL BASIN WATER ASSN	50.00	0.00	50.00
61759	01/29/2015	1035	CHASE BANK	3,693.76	0.00	3,693.76
61760	01/29/2015	45894	CINTAS CORPORATION	115.95	0.00	115.95
61761	01/29/2015	4380	CAPITAL ONE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION	110.00	0.00	110.00
61762	01/29/2015	4663	JHN INC.	4,717.00	0.00	4,717.00
61763	01/29/2015	4641	DAO. THAO	171.60	0.00	171.60
61764	01/29/2015	4524	DEKRA-LITE INDUSTRIES INC	46,159.19	0.00	46,159.19
61765	01/29/2015	57945	DELL MARKETING LP	1,175.26	0.00	1,175.26
61766	01/29/2015	27200	DICKSON R F CO INC	44,484.22	0.00	44,484.22
61767	01/29/2015	10475	DU BOIS. DIANE	465.57	0.00	465.57
61768	01/29/2015	730	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION	208.21	0.00	208.21
61769	01/29/2015	3199	EDCO WASTE SERVICES LLC	374,497.18	0.00	374,497.18
61770	01/29/2015	58284	EMAMI. CYNTHIA	150.15	0.00	150.15
61771	01/29/2015	4673	FIGHTING CLASSICS AIRCRAFT RESTORATION LTI	10,400.00	0.00	10,400.00
61772	01/29/2015	59859	FLEET PRIDE	31.00	0.00	31.00
61773	01/29/2015	63519	FLUE STEAM INC	64.00	0.00	64.00
61774	01/29/2015	64415	FULLER. LAURA	1,370.85	0.00	1,370.85
61775	01/29/2015	3188	GALLS LLC/OUARTEMASTER LLC	3,938.44	0.00	3,938.44
61776	01/29/2015	59433	GANAHL LUMBER COMPANY	397.15	0.00	397.15
61777	01/29/2015	64215	GOLD COAST AWARDS INC	136.80	0.00	136.80
61778	01/29/2015	33150	GRAINGER W W INC	137.08	0.00	137.08
61779	01/29/2015	4483	GREENFIX AMERICA. LLC	1,025.65	0.00	1,025.65
61780	01/29/2015	62491	HANDS ON MAILING &	915.18	0.00	915.18
61781	01/29/2015	35477	HARA M LAWNMOWER CENTER	123.82	0.00	123.82
61782	01/29/2015	49554	HAWK. TRUDY (FAHTIEM)	200.20	0.00	200.20
61783	01/29/2015	42031	HOME DEPOT	1,218.28	0.00	1,218.28
61784	01/29/2015	50338	INTL INST OF MUNICIPAL CLERKS	195.00	0.00	195.00
61785	01/29/2015	4461	TEXTRON INC	745.99	0.00	745.99
61786	01/29/2015	4622	JHM SUPPLY INC	23.01	0.00	23.01
61787	01/29/2015	36167	KARTER. JANET	44.20	0.00	44.20
61788	01/29/2015	2956	KICK IT UP KIDZ. LLC	43.55	0.00	43.55

**CITY OF LAKEWOOD
SUMMARY CHECK REGISTER**

CHECK #	CHECK DATE	VEND #	VENDOR NAME	GROSS	DISC.	CHECK AMOUNT
61789	01/29/2015	2822	LAGERLOF SENEAL GOSNEY &	117.77	0.00	117.77
61790	01/29/2015	18300	LAKESWOOD CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	1,833.33	0.00	1,833.33
61791	01/29/2015	53849	LAKESWOOD ROTARY CLUB	180.00	0.00	180.00
61792	01/29/2015	18550	LAKESWOOD. CITY OF	99.28	0.00	99.28
61793	01/29/2015	18400	LAKESWOOD. CITY WATER DEPT	8,112.27	0.00	8,112.27
61794	01/29/2015	4099	LEON'S TRANSMISSION SERVICES INC	87.50	0.00	87.50
61795	01/29/2015	60828	LIGHTING RESOURCES LLC	465.79	0.00	465.79
61796	01/29/2015	36844	LOS ANGELES COUNTY DEPT OF PUBLIC WORKS	6,382.22	0.00	6,382.22
61797	01/29/2015	41545	PACIFIC PREMIER RETAIL TRUST	5,158.58	0.00	5,158.58
61798	01/29/2015	3856	MAILFINANCE	473.43	0.00	473.43
61799	01/29/2015	4482	MALTY INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC	74.36	0.00	74.36
61800	01/29/2015	66339	MC ENROE. BARBARA	234.00	0.00	234.00
61801	01/29/2015	4689	MCBRIDE. RACHEL	438.75	0.00	438.75
61802	01/29/2015	46696	MEYER & ASSOCIATES	1,040.00	0.00	1,040.00
61803	01/29/2015	52588	MILLER DON & SONS	355.56	6.52	349.04
61804	01/29/2015	64333	MOSES-CALDERA. ISABEL	292.50	0.00	292.50
61805	01/29/2015	45292	MUTUAL LIQUID GAS & EQUIP CO	348.80	0.00	348.80
61806	01/29/2015	65043	NATEC INTERNATIONAL INC	1,200.00	0.00	1,200.00
61807	01/29/2015	4360	NESS CINDY LOUISE	136.00	0.00	136.00
61808	01/29/2015	4443	O'REILLY AUTOMOTIVE STORES INC	549.59	10.09	539.50
61809	01/29/2015	34536	OCOBOC. DEBRA	320.45	0.00	320.45
61810	01/29/2015	47554	OFFICE DEPOT BUSINESS SVCS	267.95	0.00	267.95
61811	01/29/2015	66116	PETERSEN. LOUISE	249.60	0.00	249.60
61812	01/29/2015	1615	PFM ASSET MANAGEMENT LLC	3,068.30	0.00	3,068.30
61813	01/29/2015	4494	PIERSON. JEREMY L.	535.60	0.00	535.60
61814	01/29/2015	48940	DVBSR LLC	200.00	0.00	200.00
61815	01/29/2015	4333	REYES. MICHELLE	48.75	0.00	48.75
61816	01/29/2015	47285	ROTARY CORP	95.01	0.00	95.01
61817	01/29/2015	50796	S C P L R C	240.00	0.00	240.00
61818	01/29/2015	240	SGS TESTCOM	3.25	0.00	3.25
61819	01/29/2015	4468	SHERRARD. DONNA HOUSTON	230.10	0.00	230.10
61820	01/29/2015	64790	SKOLNIK STEVEN N	16,777.87	0.00	16,777.87
61821	01/29/2015	52279	SMART & FINAL INC	134.77	0.00	134.77
61822	01/29/2015	29100	SNAP-ON INDUSTRIAL- DIV OF IDSC HOLDINGS LL	176.84	0.00	176.84
61823	01/29/2015	4661	VASOUEZ. GONZALO	400.00	0.00	400.00
61824	01/29/2015	61543	COMPUTER & PERIPHERALS GROUP	321.94	0.00	321.94
61825	01/29/2015	4368	SPECIALTY TIRES LLC	64.72	0.00	64.72
61826	01/29/2015	64602	STAPLES CONTRACT & COMMERCIAL INC	1,165.75	0.00	1,165.75
61827	01/29/2015	48147	STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION	1,009.48	0.00	1,009.48
61828	01/29/2015	4624	SUNBELT FLOORING. INC.	5,500.00	0.00	5,500.00
61829	01/29/2015	57912	SURI. KAREN	276.25	0.00	276.25
61830	01/29/2015	2732	TANNEN. MITCH	416.00	0.00	416.00
61831	01/29/2015	1676	U S TELEPACIFIC CORP	365.31	0.00	365.31
61832	01/29/2015	65224	TUMBLE-N-KIDS. INC	351.00	0.00	351.00

**CITY OF LAKEWOOD
SUMMARY CHECK REGISTER**

CHECK #	CHECK DATE	VEND #	VENDOR NAME	GROSS	DISC.	CHECK AMOUNT
61833	01/29/2015	60685	TURF STAR	82.60	0.00	82.60
61834	01/29/2015	1437	U S BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION	19,053.45	0.00	19,053.45
61835	01/29/2015	1682	VELOCITY AIR ENGINEERING INC	4,320.00	0.00	4,320.00
61836	01/29/2015	60430	VERIZON CALIFORNIA INC	79.99	0.00	79.99
61837	01/29/2015	33200	WALTERS WHOLESALE ELECTRIC CO	618.02	1.61	616.41
61838	01/29/2015	61019	CHRISTMAN WILLIAM B	175.00	0.00	175.00
61839	01/29/2015	17640	WAXIE ENTERPRISES INC	149.42	0.00	149.42
61840	01/29/2015	41559	WEIGHT WATCHERS	161.82	0.00	161.82
61841	01/29/2015	37745	WESTERN EXTERMINATOR CO	44.50	0.00	44.50
61842	01/29/2015	50058	WHITE HOUSE FLORIST INC	155.87	0.00	155.87
61843	01/29/2015	35146	WILLDAN ASSOCIATES	1,366.50	0.00	1,366.50
61844	01/29/2015	4183	WINZER CORPORATION	315.78	0.00	315.78
61845	01/29/2015	3699	BACA. SYLVIA	250.00	0.00	250.00
61846	01/29/2015	3699	BAIZ. MICHAEL	250.00	0.00	250.00
61847	01/29/2015	3699	BAKER. STEPHANI	250.00	0.00	250.00
61848	01/29/2015	3699	BILLINGSLEY. ANTHONY	113.00	0.00	113.00
61849	01/29/2015	3699	BIRK. NINA	250.00	0.00	250.00
61850	01/29/2015	3699	BROADBENT. JENNIFER	58.00	0.00	58.00
61851	01/29/2015	3699	CARRIEL. RAMON	43.00	0.00	43.00
61852	01/29/2015	3699	DEGUZMAN. LEONARDO	250.00	0.00	250.00
61853	01/29/2015	3699	DORHAM. JASILYN	250.00	0.00	250.00
61854	01/29/2015	3699	DOYLE. MEGAN	62.00	0.00	62.00
61855	01/29/2015	3699	FELIX. DIANA	250.00	0.00	250.00
61856	01/29/2015	3699	FIELDS. JEREMIAH	58.00	0.00	58.00
61857	01/29/2015	3699	GAITHER. SHARON	250.00	0.00	250.00
61858	01/29/2015	3699	GUASTADISEGNI. ANTHONY	250.00	0.00	250.00
61859	01/29/2015	3699	KHARNS. DAVID	100.00	0.00	100.00
61860	01/29/2015	3699	LOS ANGELES CHURCH OF CHRIST	250.00	0.00	250.00
61861	01/29/2015	3699	MARTIN. LAURENCE	250.00	0.00	250.00
61862	01/29/2015	3699	MC COY. ANGELA	100.00	0.00	100.00
61863	01/29/2015	3699	MERCADO. MARIA	250.00	0.00	250.00
61864	01/29/2015	3699	MOJICA. ABIGAIL	250.00	0.00	250.00
61865	01/29/2015	3699	MONTANEZ. KARINA	250.00	0.00	250.00
61866	01/29/2015	3699	MURILLO. MARILYN	250.00	0.00	250.00
61867	01/29/2015	3699	POPPEN. DEBORAH	250.00	0.00	250.00
61868	01/29/2015	3699	ROCHFORD LAW GROUP	250.00	0.00	250.00
61869	01/29/2015	3699	RODRIGUEZ. ROSA	250.00	0.00	250.00
61870	01/29/2015	3699	RODRIGUEZ. SILVIA	250.00	0.00	250.00
61871	01/29/2015	3699	SANTOS. ALVIN	250.00	0.00	250.00
61872	01/29/2015	3699	SIMBORG. JUSTIN	355.00	0.00	355.00
61873	01/29/2015	3699	SPENCE. ERIKA	58.00	0.00	58.00
61874	01/29/2015	3699	VILLASENOR. EVELYN	232.00	0.00	232.00
61875	01/29/2015	47854	TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES INC	332.50	0.00	332.50
61876	01/29/2015	60195	CR TRANSFER INC	6,137.38	0.00	6,137.38

**CITY OF LAKEWOOD
SUMMARY CHECK REGISTER**

<u>CHECK #</u>	<u>CHECK DATE</u>	<u>VEND #</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>GROSS</u>	<u>DISC.</u>	<u>CHECK AMOUNT</u>
			Totals:	<u>648,156.17</u>	<u>18.22</u>	<u>648,137.95</u>

**D
I
V
I
D
E
R

S
H
E
E
T**

COUNCIL AGENDA

February 10, 2015

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

SUBJECT: Approval of Lease of Water Rights to Golden State Water Company and Orchard Dale Water District

INTRODUCTION

The City of Lakewood resides in an adjudicated groundwater basin, which requires water utilities to own water rights for every acre foot of water extracted from the basin. The Central Groundwater Basin Judgment allows parties owning groundwater rights to lease excess rights to other parties.

STATEMENT OF FACT

The City of Lakewood owns 9,423 acre feet of groundwater annual extraction rights in the Central Groundwater Basin. The Judgment limits water purveyors to the extraction of adjudicated rights. A certain percentage of the utilities' extraction rights that are not exercised can be carried over to the next fiscal year. Any excess must be leased to another agency or is lost.

Due to the highly successful conservation campaign the Department of Water Resources expects to have water rights excess to our needs in FY 2014/15. Golden State Water Company is interested in leasing 1,000 acre feet and Orchard Dale Water District is interested in leasing 500 acre feet of Central Basin extraction rights from Lakewood at \$160 per acre foot for a total of \$240,000. The proposed leases would not include carry over flex. The Department of Water Resources currently holds 12,086 acre feet of water rights, including carry over. Staff projects the city's demand for the current fiscal year at 9,502 acre feet, which would allow for the lease of 1,500 acre feet of water rights.

SUMMARY

Gold State Water Company and Orchard Dale Water District meet shortfalls in water demand by leasing additional groundwater rights. The Lakewood Department of Water Resources anticipates available water rights for lease. Golden State Water Company proposes the lease of 1,000 acre feet and Orchard Dale Water District proposes to lease 500 acre feet of groundwater extraction rights from the City of Lakewood at \$160 per acre foot.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the City Council approve the lease of 1,500 acre feet of Central Basin groundwater extraction rights, 1,000 acre feet to Golden State Water Company and 500 acre feet to Orchard Dale Water District for \$240,000 and upon approval of the City Attorney as to form, authorize its execution by the Director of Water Resources on behalf of the City.

James B. Glancy 
Director of Water Resources

Howard L. Chambers 
City Manager

**D
I
V
I
D
E
R
S
H
E
E
T**

COUNCIL AGENDA

February 10, 2015

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

SUBJECT: Fund Exchange and Assignment Agreement

INTRODUCTION

City of Lakewood has uncommitted funding authority for its allocations of Proposition A Local Return funds which could be made available to the City of West Hollywood to support that city's community shuttle and demand-response services to serve people with disabilities and the general public, and to reduce congestion and parking problems of the City. Due to the limited amount of Local Return allocation provided to the City of West Hollywood, and the needs of other priority transit projects in the City, the City of West Hollywood has inadequate Proposition A Local Return funding for these services.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The City of Lakewood has previously exchanged Proposition A Transit Funds with West Hollywood as well as the cities of Manhattan Beach, Commerce and Santa Fe Springs.

The City of West Hollywood is requesting an exchange of \$1,000,000.00 of the City of Lakewood's Proposition A funds for which the City of Lakewood would receive seventy-five cents on the dollar (\$.75 per dollar) equivalent to \$750,000.00 in General Funds. If approved, the transfer will be accomplished by the City of Lakewood writing a check to the City of West Hollywood in the amount of \$1,000,000.00 from available Prop A funds, and the City of West Hollywood will write a check to the City of Lakewood in the amount of \$750,000.00 from available general funds

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council approve the Proposition A Transit Fund Exchange and Assignment Agreement with the City of West Hollywood, and authorize the City Manager and Director of Administrative Services to execute the Agreement.



Diane Perkin
Director of Administrative Services

Howard L. Chambers
City Manager



ASSIGNMENT AGREEMENT
PROP A LOCAL RETURN FUND EXCHANGE

This Assignment Agreement is made and entered into this 11th day of February, by the City of Lakewood, California and the 3rd day of February, 2015 by the City of West Hollywood, California with respect to the following facts:

A. The City of West Hollywood provides two community shuttles, demand-response services as well as bus pass and taxi subsidies to serve people with disabilities and the general public. Given the grave traffic congestion and parking problems of the City, West Hollywood is committed to reducing auto dependency by providing alternative modes of transportation. Adequate Proposition A Local Return funding for transit services are not available given the limited amount of West Hollywood's Local Return allocation and the needs of other priority transit projects in the City.

B. The City of Lakewood has uncommitted Proposition A Local Return funds which could be made available to West Hollywood to assist in providing the services discussed in Paragraph A of this Agreement. In exchange for the assignment by West Hollywood of the amount of its general funds indicated in Section 1 below, the City of Lakewood is willing to assign uncommitted Proposition A Local Return funds to West Hollywood for the purpose identified in Paragraph A.

Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual benefits to be derived by the parties and of the premises herein contained, it is mutually agreed as follows:

1. Exchange. The City of Lakewood agrees to assign a total of \$1,000,000 of its Proposition A Local Return funds to West Hollywood. \$265,767 of this total are from Fiscal Year 2014-2015. The balance of \$734,233 are funds allocated from the 2013-2014 Fiscal Year. In return, West Hollywood agrees to assign \$750,000 of its general funds to the City of Lakewood. The exchange rate is \$.75/\$1.00.

2. Consideration. The City of Lakewood shall assign the agreed upon Proposition A Local Return funds to West Hollywood in one lump-sum payment. West Hollywood shall assign the agreed upon general funds to the City of Lakewood in one lump-sum payment. The payment shall be due and payable upon execution of this Agreement, and upon approval by Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) of the City of West Hollywood's project description. Each payment shall be based on the exchange rate in accordance with the exchange rate described in paragraph 1.

3. Term. This Agreement is effective on the date above written and for such time as is necessary for both parties to complete their mutual obligations under this Agreement.

4. Termination. Termination of this Agreement may be made by either party so long as written notice of intent to terminate is given to the other party at least five (5) days prior to the termination.

5. Notice Notices shall be given pursuant to this Agreement by personal service on the party to be notified, or by written notice upon such party deposited in the custody of the United States Postal Service addressed as follows:

A. Howard L. Chambers
City Manager
City of Lakewood
5050 Clark Ave.
Lakewood, CA 90712

B. Paul Arevalo
City Manager
City of West Hollywood
8300 Santa Monica Blvd.
West Hollywood, CA 90069

6. Assurances

A. West Hollywood shall use the assigned Proposition A Local Return funds only for the purpose of providing the services discussed in Paragraph A of this Agreement and within the time limits specified in LAC Metro's Proposition A Local Return Program Guidelines.

B. Concurrently with the execution of this Agreement, West Hollywood shall provide LAC Metro with the Standard Assurances and Understandings Regarding Receipt and Use of Proposition A funds specified in the Guidelines regarding the use of the assigned Proposition A Local Return funds.

Assignment Agreement
City of Lakewood Approval: February 10, 2015
City of West Hollywood Approval: February 2, 2015

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed by their respective officers, duly authorized, by the CITY OF WEST HOLLYWOOD on February 2, 2015 and by the CITY OF Lakewood on February 10, 2015.

CITY OF Lakewood

CITY OF WEST HOLLYWOOD

BY

Diane Perkin, Director of Admin.
Services

BY:

David Wilson, Director of Finance
and Technology Services

BY:

Howard L. Chambers , City Manager

BY:

Paul Arevalo, City Manager

ATTEST:

Jo Mayberry, City Clerk

BY:

Yvonne Quarker, City Clerk

**D
I
V
I
D
E
R

S
H
E
E
T**

COUNCIL AGENDA
February 10, 2015

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

SUBJECT: Oversight Board Member Mayoral Appointment

INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the requirements of AB x1 26, the mayor is required to appoint two members to the Oversight Board for the Successor Agency to the former Lakewood Redevelopment Agency. Per AB x1 26, one of the Mayor's two appointments is represent the city, the other is to represent the employees of the former Lakewood Redevelopment Agency.

STATEMENT OF FACT

On February 14, 2012, the Mayor appointed City Manager Howard L. Chambers to represent the city and Neighborhood Preservation Manager Celia Rodriguez to represent the employees. Later on August 13, 2013, the Mayor appointed Housing Specialist Maribel Morcos to replace Ms. Rodriguez.

Maribel Morcos has submitted her resignation to the Oversight Board as she plans to attend to the needs of her family. In an effort to comply with the requirements of AB x1 26, Senior Accountant Claire Houck is the logical replacement.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is respectfully recommended that the Mayor appoint Claire Houck as the employee representative to the Oversight Board.



Diane Perkin
Director of Administrative Services

Howard L. Chambers
City Manager



**D
I
V
I
D
E
R

S
H
E
E
T**

Public Hearings

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

SUBJECT: Award of Bid – Public Works Contract 2014-05
Del Valle Memorial Project

INTRODUCTION

On January 15, 2015 the City Clerk received two bids for improvements to the existing plaza area of Del Valle Park. The work generally consists of demolition, earthwork, specialized paving and concrete work, monument structures, lighting system, landscaping and other miscellaneous improvements. Staff recommends the contract be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder. Also, consultant agreements associated with this project require approval.

STATEMENT OF FACT

Two contractors qualified to bid this project by attending the pre-bid conference on December 30, 2014. Seven Contractors purchased the plans and specifications and two submitted bid proposals. The two bids were from EC Construction at \$1,160,285.00 and CS Legacy at \$1,618,759.00.

Since the lowest bid was in excess of the desired budget, staff began value engineering and scope modification efforts for feasibility purposes. Staff worked with the architect to reselect finish materials, reposition design elements and revise the construction drawings. These efforts resulted in a total construction cost of \$845,050.00 without design intent being compromised.

SUMMARY

Bids have been received on Public Works Contract 2014-05. Staff recommends the contract be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder, EC Construction, and the full scope of work be funded. EC Constructions references have been checked and are satisfactory.

RECOMMENDATION

That the City Council:

- (1) Adopt the plans, specifications, Addenda and working details for the subject project.

- (2) Award a contract for the “Del Valle Memorial”, Public Works Contract 2014-05, in the amount of \$845,050.00, to EC Construction, and authorize the Mayor to sign the contract in a form approved by the City Attorney.
- (3) Authorize the Director of Public Works to approve a cumulative total of change orders, as necessary not to exceed \$85,000.
- (4) Appropriate \$930,000 from the reserve for capital improvements for this project.

Lisa Ann Rapp *LAR*
Director of Public Works

Howard L. Chambers *HLC*
City Manager

**D
I
V
I
D
E
R
S
H
E
E
T**

Legislation

COUNCIL AGENDA

February 10, 2015

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

SUBJECT: 2014 Engineering and Traffic Survey of City Streets

INTRODUCTION

The California Vehicle Code (CVC), Section 40802 requires speed limits to be justified within the past five years by an engineering and traffic survey in order for speed limits to be enforced by use of radar. The five year requirement may be extended to seven years if certain criteria are met. A registered engineer can further extend the period to ten years by certifying specified conditions are met.

An engineering and traffic survey is not required for most streets classified as local; however, it does apply to local streets listed on the functional usage and federal aid system maps published by the Federal Highway Administration. A new survey for Lakewood was conducted during October, 2014. The Community Safety Commission reviewed the survey at its February 2, 2015 meeting.

STATEMENT OF FACT

To comply with the California Vehicle Code, an engineering and traffic survey has been conducted on required streets. The previous survey was finalized in December, 2004. The speed limit normally should be established by rounding to the nearest five miles per hour increment of the 85th-percentile speed, which is defined as the speed at or below which 85 percent of the traffic is moving. The CVC allows, using engineering judgment under very limited and specific conditions, the speed limit to be set at 5mph below the surveyed 85th-percentile.

The Engineering and Traffic Survey (E&TS) determined that the existing posted speed limits are appropriate for all but six streets of the 99 street segments surveyed. Each of the six street segments were carefully reviewed to determine if the new, recommended speed limits comply with existing law as established by the California Vehicle Code.

One decrease from 30mph to 25mph is recommended for 207th Street between Pioneer and Norwalk Boulevards. Increases are necessary at 5 locations as listed in the below table.

RECOMMENDED SPEED LIMIT CHANGES BASED ON E&TS - 2014

Street Segment	Between		Posted Speed	Proposed Speed
207 th Street	Pioneer Blvd	Norwalk Blvd	30	25
Candlewood St	300 ft west of Carfax	Stevely	30	35
Cover Street	Industry Ave	City Limit east of Paramount	35	40
Candlewood St	Downey Ave	Hayter St	35	40
Downey Ave	Del Amo Blvd	Candlewood St	35	40
Downey Ave	Candlewood St	South St	35	40

The attached Engineering and Traffic Survey contains information regarding the requirements, the existing speed limits, how proper speed limits are established and most importantly, lists street-by-street results of the survey and the recommended speed limits.

RECOMMENDATION

The Community Safety Commission recommends that the City Council approve the attached Engineering and Traffic Survey which includes changing of posted speed limits as listed above and introduce the attached ordinance which establishes the above speed limits.

Lisa Ann Rapp 
Director of Public Works

Howard L. Chambers
City Manager





CITY OF LAKEWOOD

5050 CLARK AVENUE
LAKEWOOD, CA 90712-2697
(562) 866-9771 x2500

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY - 2014

DECEMBER, 2014



CITY OF LAKEWOOD

5050 CLARK AVENUE
LAKEWOOD, CA 90712-2697
(562) 866-9771 x2500

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY - 2014

DECEMBER, 2014

INTRODUCTION

Speed limits in California are governed by the California Vehicle Code, Sections 22348 through 22413. The statutes establish or provide means of establishing speed limits by:

1. Basic speed limit law.
2. Prima facie speed limits specified by statute or established by the State or local authorities within their respective jurisdictions on the basis of an engineering and traffic survey.

BASIC SPEED LIMIT LAW

Section 22350 of the Vehicle Code provides that no person shall drive a vehicle upon a highway at a speed greater than is reasonable or prudent having due regard for weather, visibility, traffic and the surface and width of the highway, and in no event at a speed which endangers the safety of persons or property. This is the basic speed limit law.

PRIMA FACIE SPEED LIMITS

Prima facie speed limits are specific limits stated in the Vehicle Code or specific limits established on the basis of an engineering and traffic survey authorized by the Vehicle Code. The prima facie speed limits are preempted by the basic speed limit law when the conditions in Vehicle Code Section 22350 are met.

Sections 22357 and 22358 authorize local authorities to establish prima facie speed limits on streets and roads under their jurisdiction on the basis of an engineering and traffic survey.

Section 40802 states that prima facie speed limits established may not be enforced by radar unless the speed limit has been justified by an engineering and traffic survey within the last five years or

may be extended to seven years if certain criteria are met. The City of Lakewood qualifies to extend the period to seven years as the requirement, basically, is that the police officer has successfully completed a course of not less than 24 hours on the use of police radar. The Engineering and Traffic Survey may be extended to ten years if a Registered Engineer certifies that no significant changes in roadway or traffic conditions have occurred. {CVC Chapter 3, Article 1, Section 40802, (c)(2)(B)(i)(II)}

ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEYS

Section 627 of the Vehicle Code defines the term engineering and traffic survey and lists its requirements. Section 627 states: "An engineering and traffic survey shall include, among other requirements deemed necessary by the Department of Transportation, consideration of all of the following: prevailing speeds as determined by traffic engineering measurements; accident records; and highway, traffic, and roadside conditions not readily apparent to the driver."

The Engineering and Traffic Survey should contain sufficient information to document that the conditions of California Vehicle Code (CVC) Section 627 have been complied with and that other conditions not readily apparent to a motorist are properly identified.

The intent of speed measurements is to determine the actual speed of unimpeded, free flowing traffic. Speed measurements should be taken during off-peak hours on weekdays and there shall be no unusual conditions that would affect the speed of traffic. In order for the sample to be representative of the actual traffic flow, the minimum sample should be 100 vehicles in each survey. In no case should the sample contain less than 50 vehicles

The speed limit should be established by rounding to the nearest five miles per hour of the 85th-percentile of the free flowing traffic. However, in cases in which the speed limit needs to be rounded up to the nearest five miles per hour, it can be decided to instead round down to the lower five miles per hour increment, but "shall not reduce the speed limit any further for any reason."

The California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CA MUTCD) states "The establishment of a speed limit of more than 5 mph below the 85th percentile speed should be done with great care as studies have shown that establishing speed limit at less than the 85th percentile generally results in an increase in collision rates; in addition, this may make violators of a disproportionate number of the reasonable majority of drivers."

SPEED SURVEY

The City contracted with Newport Traffic Studies to do a radar speed survey of the required streets which are those classified as collector and above. Using the radar speed survey, City staff have completed an engineering study of the speeds and the related streets. The results are shown on the following pages and indicate streets, posted speed limits, survey speeds, and the recommended speed limits. In cases where the recommended speed limit differs from the 85 percentile speed, engineering considerations for the adjusted speed limit are noted.

Special Engineering Considerations:

(See explanations following list of streets)

- [1] Existence of closely spaced intersections
- [2] Consistency w/speed limits set by adjacent cities
- [3] Consistency w/speed limits on adjacent street segments
- [4] Option to round down per CVC 21400(4)(b)
- [5] Residential Characteristics

Street Name	Segment Limits	Posted Speed	Surveyed Speed	Special Consider	Speed Adjust	Recom Speed
36th	Cherry – Industry	30	29.3			30
207th	Roseton - Pioneer	25	27.2			25
	Pioneer - Norwalk	30	26.3			25
	Norwalk - Hawaiian	25	29.5	[4]		25
215th	Pioneer - E.C.L.	30	31.5			30
ALLINGTON	Bellflower - Woodruff	35	35.6			35
	Woodruff - E.C.L.	35	40.5	[3]	- 5	35
ARBOR	Paramount - Downey	30	36.6	[5]	- 5	30
	Downey - Lakewood	30	33.3	[4]		30
	Bellflower - Woodruff	30	34.3	[4]		30
	Woodruff - Palo Verde	30	31.3			30
	Palo Verde - Turnergrove	25	29.5	[4]		25
ASHWORTH	W.C.L. - Lakewood	25	29.4	[4]		25
	Lakewood - Clark	30	32.3			30
	Clark - Bellflower	30	37.4	[5]	- 5	30
	Bellflower - Woodruff	30	34.1	[4]		30
BELLFLOWER	S.C.L. - Candlewood	40	46.1	[2]	- 5	40
	Candlewood - South	40	43.6	[4]	- 5	40
	South - N.C.L.	40	47.4	[2] [3]	- 5	40
BIXBY	Cherry - Industry	30	29.9			30
BLOOMFIELD	S.C.L. - Centralia	40	42.9	[4]		40
	Centralia - Del Amo	40	42.2			40
CANDLEWOOD	W.C.L. - Downey	40	45.6	[2]	- 5	40
	Downey - Hayter	35	42.6	[4]	- 5	40
	Hayter - Clark	35	35.7			35
	Clark - Woodruff	30	36.0	[1] [3] [5]	- 5	30
	Woodruff - 300' w/Carfax	30	36.2	[1] [3] [5]	- 5	30
	300' w/Carfax - Knoxville	30	36.5			35
CARSON	W.C.L. – Lakewood	40	42.4			40
	S.G. River - Pioneer	40	43.7	[4]		40

<u>Street Name</u>	<u>Segment Limits</u>	<u>Posted Speed</u>	<u>Surveyed Speed</u>	<u>Special Consider</u>	<u>Speed Adjust</u>	<u>Recom Speed</u>
CENTRALIA	Bellflower - Woodruff	25	27.3			25
	Woodruff - Shadeway	30	35.0	[1] [3] [5]	- 5	30
	Studebaker - Pioneer	40	42.3			40
	Pioneer - Norwalk	35	36.6			35
	Norwalk - E.C.L.	40	41.6			40
CHERRY	S.C.L. - N.C.L.	40	38.2			40
CLARK	S.C.L. - Candlewood	35	37.3			35
	Candlewood - South St.	35	41.0	[3] [5]	- 5	35
	South St. - N.C.L.	35	39.3	[4]		35
CLUBHOUSE	Carson - Warwood	30	30.0			30
	Warwood - Country Club	25	25.9			25
COUNTRY CLUB	Clubhouse - Downey	25	31.1	[3] [5]	- 5	25
COVER	Cherry - Industry	30	34.6	[4]		30
	Industry - ECL east of Paramount	35	39.8			40
DEL AMO	W.C.L. - Downey	40	42.5			40
	Downey - Lakewood	40	41.1			40
	Lakewood - Clark	40	42.5			40
	Clark - Bellflower	40	42.2			40
	Bellflower - Woodruff	40	42.4			40
	Woodruff - Palo Verde	40	43.4	[4]		40
	Palo Verde - Studebaker	40	43.5	[4]		40
	Studebaker - Pioneer	40	42.5			40
	Pioneer - Norwalk	40	41.7			40
Norwalk - E.C.L.	40	42.0			40	
DOWNEY	Country Club - Del Amo	25	29.0	[4]		25
	Del Amo - Candlewood	35	40.1			40
	Candlewood - N.C.L.	35	42.0			40
GRAYWOOD	Candlewood - South	30	32.2			30
HARDWICK	Paramount - Downey	30	37.0	[5]	- 5	30
	Downey - Lakewood	30	32.8	[4]		30
HARVEY	Bellflower - Woodruff	30	33.0	[4]		30
	Woodruff - Palo Verde	30	36.5	[3] [5]	- 5	30
	Palo Verde - Los Coyotes	25	21.8			25
HAWAIIAN	Centralia - Del Amo	25	26.7			25

Street Name	Segment Limits	Posted Speed	Surveyed Speed	Special Consider	Speed Adjust	Recom Speed
HEDDA	W.C.L. - Lakewood	25	28.8	[4]		25
	Lakewood - Clark	30	32.3			30
	Clark - Bellflower	30	34.0	[4]		30
INDUSTRY	36th - Cover	35	39.3	[4]		35
LAKEWOOD	S.C.L. - SOUTH	40	42.1			40
	N.C.L. - NCL	40	44.3	[4]		40
LOS COYOTES Blvd	Del Amo - Pioneer	35	32.3			35
LOS COYOTES Diag	S.C.L. - Harvey	35	37.9	[4]		35
NORWALK	S.C.L. - N.C.L.	40	40.8			40
OBISPO	Carson - Greentop	25	34.2	[3] [4] [5]	- 5	25
	Greentop - Del Amo	25	31.1	[3] [5]	- 5	25
	Del Amo - Candlewood	25	28.5	[4]		25
PALO VERDE	S.C.L. - Del Amo	35	41.2	[2] [5]	- 5	35
	Del Amo - Candlewood	40	42.7	[4]		40
	Candlewood - South	40	41.1			40
	South - N.C.L.	40	41.8			40
PARAMOUNT	S.C.L. - Carson	40	40.5			40
	Carson - Del Amo	40	43.1	[4]		40
	Del Amo - N.C.L.	40	42.0			40
PIONEER	S.C.L. - Centralia	40	40.5			40
	Centralia - Del Amo	40	41.8			40
	Del Amo - N.C.L.	40	40.0			40
ROSETON	207th - Del Amo	30	29.7			30
SHADEWAY	Harvey - Turnergrove	30	31.4			30
SOUTH ST.	W.C.L. - Lakewood	40	41.7			40
	Lakewood - Clark	40	42.3			40
	Clark - Bellflower	40	42.8	[4]		40
	Bellflower - Woodruff	40	42.0			40
	Woodruff - Palo Verde	40	41.8			40
	Palo Verde - E.C.L.	40	42.9	[4]		40
STUDEBAKDER	Centralia - Del Amo	40	42.9	[4]		40
TURNERGROVE	Palo Verde - Shadeway	25	31.3	[5]	- 5	25
WOODRUFF	S.C.L. - Del Amo	40	45.3	[2] [3]	- 5	40
	Del Amo - South	40	45.3	[3]	- 5	40
	South - N.C.L.	40	44.0	[4]		40

SPECIAL ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS FOR SPEED ADJUSTMENT

- [1] Existence of closely spaced intersections. This condition demands motorists to pay extra attention to traffic from the cross-streets. It is justified to have a reduced speed limit.
- [2] Consistency with speed limits set by adjacent cities. Speed limits should be coordinated with adjacent jurisdictions to avoid confusion for motorists.
- [3] Consistency with speed limits on adjacent street segments. Speed limits should be coordinated with adjacent streets segments to avoid confusion for motorists.
- [4] Option to round down per CVC 21400(4)(b)
- [5] Residential Characteristics. Several residential streets within the City are classified as Urban Minor Arterial on the Federal Highway Administration Functional Use Maps. Because of this, these streets do not qualify for a prima facie residential 25 miles per hour speed limit even though the streets have the characteristics of residential streets. The streets are narrow (34-36 feet in width), have heavy on-street parking, and driveways approximately every 50 to 100 feet. These driveways and the traffic they generate are not readily apparent to the motorist due to the on-street vehicular parking. Because of this, the recommended speed limit is lowered from the 85 percentile speed.

ORDINANCE NO. 2015-1

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAKEWOOD AMENDING THE LAKEWOOD MUNICIPAL CODE PERTAINING TO THE PRIMA FACIE SPEED LIMIT ON CANDLEWOOD STREET, COVER STREET, DOWNEY AVENUE, LAKEWOOD BOULEVARD AND 207TH STREET,

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAKEWOOD DOES HEREBY ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Section 3236 of Chapter 2 of Article III of the Lakewood Municipal Code increasing the prima facie speed limit on certain City streets is hereby amended to read as follows:

C. CANDLEWOOD STREET from western city limits to Hayter Street, 40 MPH; from Hayter Street to Clark Avenue, 35 MPH; from Clark Avenue to 300 feet west of Carfax Avenue, 30 MPH; from 300 feet west of Carfax Avenue to Knoxville Avenue, 35 MPH.

C-4. COVER STREET from Cherry Avenue to Industry Avenue, 30 MPH; from Industry Avenue to city limits east of Paramount Boulevard, 40 MPH.

D-1. DOWNEY AVENUE from Del Amo Boulevard to South Street, 40 MPH.

L-2. LAKEWOOD BOULEVARD within the City of Lakewood, 40 MPH.

T-1. 215th STREET from Pioneer Boulevard to eastern city limits, 30MPH.

~~**T-2. 207th STREET** between its intersection with Norwalk and Pioneer Boulevards, 30 MPH. (Amended by Ord. 77-15)~~

SECTION 2. This Ordinance has been prepared pursuant to an Engineering and Traffic Survey, dated December, 2014, and considered by and approved by the Community Safety Commission of the City of Lakewood on the 2nd day of February, 2015. Said Engineering and Traffic Survey is hereby found to be an Engineering and Traffic Survey; as defined in Section 40802 of the California Vehicle Code. In accordance with that study, the Community Safety Commission has reported that the aforementioned speed limits are justified and should be enforced.

SECTION 3. The City Clerk shall certify to the adoption of this Ordinance. The City Council hereby finds and determines that there are no newspapers of general circulation both published and circulated within the City and, in compliance with Section 36933 of the Government Code, directs the City Clerk to cause said Ordinance within fifteen (15) days after its passage to be posted in at least three (3) public places within the City as established by ordinance. This Ordinance shall take effect thirty (30) days after its adoption.

ADOPTED AND APPROVED this 24th day of February, 2015, by the following roll call vote:

	AYES	NAYS	ABSENT
Council Member Croft	_____	_____	_____
Council Member DuBois	_____	_____	_____
Council Member Wood	_____	_____	_____
Council Member Piazza	_____	_____	_____
Mayor Rogers	_____	_____	_____

Mayor

ATTEST:

City Clerk

I, JO MAYBERRY, do hereby certify that I am the City Clerk of the City of Lakewood, and the foregoing Ordinance was adopted and approved by the City Council of the City of Lakewood voting for and against the Ordinance as above set forth at a regular meeting thereof on the 24th day of February, 2015.

City Clerk

CODING: Words in ~~struck-through~~ type are deletions from existing law; words in underscored type are additions.

**D
I
V
I
D
E
R

S
H
E
E
T**

Reports

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

SUBJECT: Intergovernmental Relations Committee Recommendations:
Annual Statement of Intergovernmental Relations Goals

INTRODUCTION

The City Council's Intergovernmental Relations Committee has completed its discussions and recommends intergovernmental relations goals for 2015. The goals establish the work program of the City Council's Intergovernmental Relations Committee and the platform for city advocacy meetings and trips. The goals also focus on the State Capitol efforts of Anthony, Jason and Paul Gonsalves, the city's Sacramento-based professional legislative representatives. The annual goals authorize the city's active participation in the coalitions of cities and other agencies that work to affect federal and state decision-making.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Intergovernmental priorities materialize throughout the legislative session based upon the goals adopted by the City Council, in addition to issues that the city is already working on. Current federal intergovernmental goals for 2015 include protecting the city's right to contract for services; preserving local control of the siting of wireless and telecommunications equipment on public property; and supporting the long-term reauthorization of the Federal Highway Trust Fund Program.

On the state side, priorities include protecting the city's right to contract for services; supporting the implementation of Proposition 1 (water bond) and monitoring the disbursement of funds; supporting the Local Agency Investment Fund rate application in effect at the time of the origination of the redevelopment agency loans rather than at current rates; opposing legislation that reduces the city's control over the public right of way; monitoring implementation of a mileage-based fee pilot program for transportation funding; monitoring legislation that proposes sales and property tax reform; and opposing the implementation of Proposition 47 and its negative effects on property crime rates.

Regionally, the city will continue to support water projects that reduce the area's reliance on imported water and supplemental funding for complying with stormwater mandates.

For 2015, the Intergovernmental Relations Committee submits the following goals:

Except as otherwise noted in this statement or as modified by the City Council's Intergovernmental Relations Committee or by the City Council, the City of Lakewood, in its intergovernmental and legislative advocacy activities, shall monitor the annual legislative programs of the following organizations:

Federal

- American Planning Association
- American Public Works Association
- American Water Works Association
- ICLEI
- International Council of Shopping Centers
- National Recreation and Parks Association
- National League of Cities
- NATOA
- U.S. Conference of Mayors

State

- California Association of Local Economic Development
- California Contract Cities Association
- California Municipal Revenue and Tax Association
- California Municipal Utilities Association
- California Parks & Recreation Society
- League of California Cities

Regional

- Central Basin Water Association
- Coalition for Practical Regulation
- Gateway Cities Council of Governments
- Gateway Water Management Authority
- Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department
- Metropolitan Transportation Authority
- SCANATOA
- Southeast Water Coalition
- Southern California Association of Governments
- California Joint Powers Insurance Authority
- Greater Los Angeles County Vector Control District

Federal

Support

1. Preservation and enhancement of funding sources for cities
2. Preservation and enhancement of local control
3. Continued funding for the items below:
 - Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program
 - Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) program
 - Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) program
 - Public, Educational, and Government (PEG) community access television
 - Safe drinking water research by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and Water Research Foundation
4. Water infrastructure funding to address water infrastructure challenges
5. Provisions in federal law that support our local policies for the provision and protection of water to the community
6. Long-term reauthorization of the federal highway program
7. Job creation in the region
8. Preservation of proper authority to exercise eminent domain when it is necessary to carry out projects that benefit the public good
9. Efforts to retain net neutrality
10. Efforts to control consumer rates on telecommunication services such as video, telephone, and Internet access
11. Local control of siting of wireless and telecommunications equipment on public property

Oppose

1. Water quality mandates not based on “good science” and stormwater mandates for which funding is not provided

2. Unreasonable efforts to restrict pensions that do not respect local control and local bargaining processes
3. Federal intrusions upon local government's authority over local land use decisions
4. Impractical, unreasonable mandates for congestion management and restrictions on driving and parking private vehicles
5. Unnecessary modifications to the Los Angeles County Flood Control conveyance system, including the Los Angeles and San Gabriel Rivers, that could require properties to purchase federal flood insurance
6. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) flood insurance reforms to require properties in residual risk areas, those protected by dams or levees, to purchase flood insurance even when the man-made structures are certified to provide at least 100-year protection
7. Efforts to impose moratoria on state and local taxes on mobile services and providers of mobile services
8. Efforts to eliminate net neutrality on the Internet

State

Support

1. The provision of municipal services through contracting
2. Preservation and enhancement of local control
3. Protecting and enhancing sources of local government revenue
4. Legislation and funding that promote enhanced law enforcement services in the community
5. Legislation to protect the community from sex offenders
6. Reasonable fiscal reforms to state government
7. Reasonable reform of local government pension systems that is respectful of local control and local collective bargaining processes

8. Legislation that enhances community control of public education
9. Legislation that encourages economic development for cities to create jobs and improve the economy
10. Legislation that protects and preserves adequate quality and quantity of water resources to the community and reliable long-term funding for municipal water delivery, maintenance, and treatment
11. Groundwater storage in the Central and West water basins based upon consensus through a state-sponsored cooperative process that ensures the needs of the public are served
12. Construction of a Delta Bypass Facility and additional South-of-Delta State Water Project reservoir capacity and support proposals to facilitate voluntary water transfer marketing to meet the water supply needs of Southern California
13. Efforts to increase use of recycled water in a cost effective manner
14. Legislation and administrative decisions regarding water quality regulations based on solid science
15. Development of reasonable policies, strategies and programs to protect the environment, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, increase energy efficiency and increase the use of alternative/renewable energy sources
16. Implementation and distribution of funding from Proposition 1, the Water Bond

Oppose

1. Proposals to regionalize and/or dissolve cities through amalgamation and consolidation
2. Proposals to redistribute sales tax revenues regionally
3. Proposals to restructure and reform sales and property taxes that could reduce revenues
4. Proposals to take additional revenue from local governments or to shift responsibilities and costs to local government without providing funding
5. Proposals for fiscal reform that undermine democratic processes and/or local authority
6. Legislation to reduce local control over the city's planning and zoning practices and efforts to reduce local land use authority
7. Legislation that threatens the city's control over the public right of way

8. Proposals for the state to take over management of the Central Basin groundwater supply
9. Water quality mandates not based on “good science” and stormwater mandates for which funding is not provided
10. Impractical, unreasonable mandates for congestion management and restrictions on driving and parking private vehicles
11. Implementation of Proposition 47 and its negative effects on the city’s crime rate

Regional

1. Support preservation and enhancement of local control
2. Support legislation, programs and funding that promote enhanced law enforcement services in the community
3. Participate in regional economic development activities
4. Advocate cooperative county-city major street projects

INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the City Council adopt the Statement of Intergovernmental Relations Goals for 2015.

Lisa G. Novotny
Assistant City Manager



Howard L. Chambers
City Manager



**D
I
V
I
D
E
R
S
H
E
E
T**

*Successor
Agency*

**CITY OF LAKEWOOD SUCCESSOR AGENCY - PROJECT AREAS
FUND SUMMARY 1/29/2015**

In accordance with section 2521 of the Lakewood Municipal Code there is presented herewith a summary of obligations to be paid by voucher 53 through 55. Each of the following demands has been audited by the Director of Administrative Services and approved by the City Manager.

2902	ENFORCEABLE OBLIGATIONS	10,165.00
		<hr/>
		10,165.00

Council Approval

Date

City Manager

Attest

City Clerk

Director of Administrative Services

**CITY OF LAKEWOOD SUCCESSOR AGENCY - PROJECT AREAS
SUMMARY CHECK REGISTER**

<u>CHECK #</u>	<u>CHECK DATE</u>	<u>VEND #</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>GROSS</u>	<u>DISC.</u>	<u>CHECK AMOUNT</u>
53	01/29/2015	4428	COLANTUONO HIGHSMITH & WHATLEY PC	3,565.00	0.00	3,565.00
54	01/29/2015	57989	U S BANK	3,300.00	0.00	3,300.00
55	01/29/2015	57989	U S BANK	3,300.00	0.00	3,300.00
Totals:				<u>10,165.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>10,165.00</u>

**D
I
V
I
D
E
R

S
H
E
E
T**

SUCCESSOR AGENCY AGENDA

February 10, 2015

TO: The Members of the Successor Agency

SUBJECT: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for July 1, 2015 Through December 31, 2015 – ROPS 15-16 A

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Section 34177(m) of the California Health and Safety Code as amended by AB 1484, the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period of July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015, is to be submitted by the Successor Agency, after approval by the Oversight Board, by March 3, 2015 to the California Department of Finance (DOF) and the County Auditor-Controller.

This ROPS period has been identified by the DOF as ROPS 15-16 A.

STATEMENT OF FACT

The ROPS 15-16 A takes into consideration of final determinations made by the DOF in regards to approved enforceable obligations and result of the due diligence reviews.

The ROPS 15-16 A will be presented to the Oversight Board for approval on February 19, 2015.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Successor Agency approve the proposed Recognized Obligations Payment Schedule for July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015 (ROPS 15-16 A).


Diane Perkin
Director of Administrative Services

Howard L. Chambers
City Manager



Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16A) - Summary

Filed for the July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015 Period

Name of Successor Agency: Lakewood
Name of County: Los Angeles

Current Period Requested Funding for Outstanding Debt or Obligation	Six-Month Total
Enforceable Obligations Funded with Non-Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Funding	
A Sources (B+C+D):	\$ 32,842
B Bond Proceeds Funding (ROPS Detail)	-
C Reserve Balance Funding (ROPS Detail)	-
D Other Funding (ROPS Detail)	32,842
E Enforceable Obligations Funded with RPTTF Funding (F+G):	\$ 2,839,765
F Non-Administrative Costs (ROPS Detail)	2,714,765
G Administrative Costs (ROPS Detail)	125,000
H Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E):	\$ 2,872,607

Successor Agency Self-Reported Prior Period Adjustment to Current Period RPTTF Requested Funding	
I Enforceable Obligations funded with RPTTF (E):	2,839,765
J Less Prior Period Adjustment (Report of Prior Period Adjustments Column S)	(5,902)
K Adjusted Current Period RPTTF Requested Funding (I-J)	\$ 2,833,863

County Auditor Controller Reported Prior Period Adjustment to Current Period RPTTF Requested Funding	
L Enforceable Obligations funded with RPTTF (E):	2,839,765
M Less Prior Period Adjustment (Report of Prior Period Adjustments Column AA)	-
N Adjusted Current Period RPTTF Requested Funding (L-M)	2,839,765

Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:
Pursuant to Section 34177 (m) of the Health and Safety code, I hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named agency.

Name	Title
/s/	
Signature	Date

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16A) - ROPS Detail
July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015
 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	M			N	O	P
										Funding Source					
										Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (Non-RPTTF)	RPTTF				
Item #	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Obligation Type	Contract/Agreement Execution Date	Contract/Agreement Termination Date	Payee	Description/Project Scope	Project Area	Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation	Retired	Other Funds	Non-Admin	Admin	Six-Month Total		
								\$ 14,956,740		\$ 32,842	\$ 2,714,765	\$ 125,000	\$ 2,872,607		
2	1999 Tax Allocation Bonds Series A	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	10/1/1999	9/1/2017	US Bank	Bonds issue to fund non-housing projects	Project Area 1	1,948,305	N		585,323		\$ 618,165		
3	2003 Tax Allocation Bonds Series A	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	10/28/2003	9/1/2017	US Bank	Bonds issue to fund non-housing projects	Project Area 1	1,508,210	N	32,842	483,540		\$ 483,540		
4	2003 Tax Allocation Bonds Series B	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	10/28/2003	9/1/2017	US Bank	Bonds issue to fund non-housing projects	Project Area 1	830,822	N		261,382		\$ 261,382		
5	Fiscal Agent Fees	Fees	10/1/1999	1/31/2018	US Bank	Trustee Fees for 1999A, 2003A, and 2003B bonds	Project Area 1	33,000	N		4,400		\$ 4,400		
6	Bond Disclosure Fees	Fees	2/1/2012	1/31/2018	Harrell & Company	Bonds disclosure fees for 1999A, 2003A, and 2003B bonds	Project Area 1	6,843	N				\$ -		
7	County Deferral	Unfunded Liabilities	6/27/1989	6/30/2042	County of Los Angeles	County Deferral Loan	Project Area 2	843,589	N				\$ -		
8	City Advance to Agency	City/County Loans On or Before 6/27/11	7/10/1973	6/30/2023	City of Lakewood	Initial funds to operate plan area	Project Area 1	267,400	N				\$ -		
9	Housing Fund Deficit	Unfunded Liabilities	6/30/1999	6/30/2022	Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund	Housing fund deficit repayments (HSC section 3334.6(d))	Project Area 1		N				\$ -		
10	Owner Participation Agreement	OPA/DDA/Construction	12/16/1998	9/30/2017	Lakewood Mall Business Co (Macerich)	Parking Facilities Lease	Project Area 1	2,100,000	N		1,350,000		\$ 1,350,000		
12	Audit Costs (4 years)	Admin Costs	2/1/2012	6/30/2042	White Nelson Diehl Evans & Co, LLP	Agency Audit Services	All Project Areas	-	N				\$ -		
14	Oversight Board Legal Contract	Legal	2/1/2012	6/30/2042	TBD (city of Lakewood)	Attorney to the Oversight Board	All Project Areas	-	N				\$ -		
15	Accounting Services (4 years)	Admin Costs	2/1/2012	6/30/2014	City of Lakewood	Accounting services	All Project Areas	-	N				\$ -		
16	Successor Agency Employee Cost	Admin Costs	2/1/2012	6/30/2014	City of Lakewood	Other Payroll costs	All Project Areas	-	N				\$ -		
17	Administrative Costs	Admin Costs	1/1/2015	6/30/2015	City of Lakewood	Administrative costs including audit and payroll	All Project Areas	125,000	N			125,000	\$ 125,000		
18	LMIHF Loan (SERAF)	SERAF/ERAF	5/10/2010	6/30/2042	Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund	Loan for SERAF payment to County for FY 09/10 (HSC section 33690 (c)(1))	All Project Areas	3,215,951	N				\$ -		
19	LMIHF Loan (SERAF)	SERAF/ERAF	5/4/2011	6/30/2042	Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund	Loan for SERAF payment to County for FY 10/11 (HSC section 33690 (c)(1))	All Project Areas	662,108	N				\$ -		
20	LMIHF Loan (ERAF)	SERAF/ERAF	5/10/2005	6/30/2042	Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund	Loan for ERAF payment to County for FY 04/05 (HSC section 33681.12 (b))	All Project Areas	90,492	N				\$ -		
21	City Loans and Advances to Agency	City/County Loans On or Before 6/27/11	12/17/1985	6/30/2042	City of Lakewood (80%)	Loans for development and financial assistance of Project Areas 1, 2, and 3	All Project Areas	2,101,308	N				\$ -		
22	City Loans and Advances to Agency	City/County Loans On or Before 6/27/11	12/17/1985	6/30/2042	Lakewood Housing Successor (20%)	Loans for development and financial assistance of Project Areas 1, 2, and 3	All Project Areas	525,328	N				\$ -		
23	City Loan to Successor Agency	RPTTF Shortfall	2/21/2013	12/31/2013	City of Lakewood	Temporary city loan to satisfy Enforceable Obligations from ROPS 12-13B	All Project Areas	-	N				\$ -		
24	Owner Participation Agreement Payment Penalty	OPA/DDA/Construction	1/1/2013	12/31/2013	Lakewood Mall Business Co (Macerich)	Late payment penalty as stated on the Parking Facilities Lease Agreement. Payment was originally due Sept 2012.	Project Area 1	-	N				\$ -		
25	City Loan to Successor Agency	RPTTF Shortfall	7/1/2013	6/30/2014	City of Lakewood	Temporary city loan to satisfy Enforceable Obligations from ROPS 13-14A	All Project Areas	-	N				\$ -		
26	Legal Fee	Litigation	6/11/2013	6/30/2023	City of Lakewood	Temporary city loan for legal counsel paid to firm Colantuono & Levin for Successor Agency litigation over DOF.	All Project Areas	141,861	N		30,000		\$ 30,000		
27	City Loan to Successor Agency	RPTTF Shortfall	1/1/2012	6/30/2015	City of Lakewood	Temporary city loan for cash flow deficiency to fund obligations during initial phase of RDA dissolution. (estimate)	All Project Areas	556,403	N				\$ -		
28	Bond Arbitrage Compliance Review	Fees	7/23/2014	6/30/2015	PFM Asset Management LLC	Arbitrage Rebate Compliance Services for 1999A Tax Allocation Bonds Series	All Project Areas	-	N				\$ -		
29	LAUSD v. LAC	Miscellaneous	9/1/2014	12/31/2015	Case Anywhere, LLC	System Access Fee for the legal case LAUSD v. LA County, et al- Case Number BS108180	All Project Areas	120	N		120		\$ 120		

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16A) - Report of Prior Period Adj
 Reported for the ROPS 14-15A (July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014) Period Pursuant to Health and Safety
 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

ROPS 14-15A Successor Agency (SA) Self-reported Prior Period Adjustments (PPA): Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), SAs are required to report the differences between their actual available funding and their actual expenditures for the ROPS 14-15A (July through December 2014) period. The amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved for the ROPS 15-16A (July through December 2015) period will be offset by the SA's self-reported ROPS 14-15A prior period adjustment. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by SAs are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller.

A	B	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Item #	Project Name / Debt Obligation	RPTTF Expenditures										Net SA Non-Admin and Admin PPA (Amount Used to Offset ROPS 15-16A Requested RPTTF)
		Non-Admin					Admin					
		Authorized	Available RPTTF (ROPS 14-15A distributed + all other available as of 07/1/14)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference (If K is less than L, the difference is zero)	Authorized	Available RPTTF (ROPS 14-15A distributed + all other available as of 07/1/14)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference (If total actual exceeds total authorized, the total difference is zero)	
		\$ 2,111,341	\$ 1,811,341	\$ 1,811,341	\$ 1,805,439	\$ 5,902	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000	\$ -	\$ 5,902
2	1999 Tax Allocation Bonds	602,340	602,340	602,340	602,210	130						130
3	2003 Tax Allocation Bonds	476,790	476,790	476,790	476,790	-						-
4	2003 Tax Allocation Bonds Series B	257,811	257,811	257,811	257,811	-						-
5	Fiscal Agent Fees	4,400	4,400	4,400	4,400	-						-
6	Bond Disclosure Fees	-	-	-	-	-						-
7	County Deferral	-	-	-	-	-						-
8	City Advance to Agency	-	-	-	-	-						-
9	Housing Fund Deficit	-	-	-	-	-						-
10	Owner Participation Agreement	750,000	450,000	450,000	450,000	-						-
12	Audit Costs (4 years)	-	-	-	-	-						-
14	Oversight Board Legal Contract	-	-	-	-	-						-
15	Accounting Services (4 years)	-	-	-	-	-						-
16	Successor Agency Employee Cost	-	-	-	-	-						-
17	Administrative Costs	-	-	-	-	-						-
18	LMIHF Loan (SERAF)	-	-	-	-	-						-
19	LMIHF Loan (SERAF)	-	-	-	-	-						-
20	LMIHF Loan (ERAF)	-	-	-	-	-						-
21	City Loans and Advances to Agency	-	-	-	-	-						-
22	City Loans and Advances to Agency	-	-	-	-	-						-
23	City Loan to Successor Agency	-	-	-	-	-						-
24	Owner Participation Agreement Payment Penalty	-	-	-	-	-						-
25	City Loan to Successor Agency	-	-	-	-	-						-
26	Legal Fee	20,000	20,000	20,000	14,228	5,772						5,772
27	City Loan to Successor Agency	-	-	-	-	-						-

